

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA  
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 5925 OF 2012  
(arising out of SLP (C) No. 882 of 2010)

LUDHIANA IMPROVEMENT TRUST	.....APPELLANT(S)	
VERSUS		
AMRIT LAL ARORA & ORS.	.....RESPONDENT(S)	

W I T H

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 5926 OF 2012  
(arising out of SLP (C) No. 879 of 2010)  
 CIVIL APPEAL NO. 5927 OF 2012  
(arising out of SLP (C) No. 1329 of 2010)  
 CIVIL APPEAL NO. 5928 OF 2012  
(arising out of SLP (C) No. 1388 of 2010)  
 CIVIL APPEAL NO. 5929 OF 2012  
(arising out of SLP (C) No. 1664 of 2010)  
 CIVIL APPEAL NO. 5930 OF 2012  
(arising out of SLP (C) No. 1932 of 2010)  
 CIVIL APPEAL NO. 5931 OF 2012  
(arising out of SLP (C) No. 3020 of 2010)  
 CIVIL APPEAL NO. 5932 OF 2012  
(arising out of SLP (C) No. 2674 of 2010)

O R D E R

Leave granted.

On hearing counsel for the parties, we are satisfied that in the facts and circumstances of the case, delay in filing the revision petitions should have been condoned by the National Consumer Disputes Redressal Commission on imposing some suitable costs on the Ludhiana Improvement Trust.

We, accordingly, allow the appeals, set aside the order of the National Consumer Disputes Redressal Commission, with the direction that the delay in filing the revisions before the Commission shall be condoned on payment of costs of `50,000 in each of the eight cases. In

...2/-

: 2 :

case, a receipt showing payment of cost to the other side is filed within six weeks from today, the Commission shall proceed to hear and dispose of the matter on merits and in accordance with law.

.....J.  
(AFTAB ALAM)

NEW DELHI,  
AUGUST 17, 2012.

ITEM NO.35

COURT NO.6

SECTION XVII

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).161/2010

(From the judgement and order dated 16/09/2009 in RP No.3216/2009 of  
the NATIONAL CONSUMERS DISPUTES REDRESSAL COMMISSION, NEW DELHI)

LUDHIANA IMPROVEMENT TRUST

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

HEM RAJ MITTAL & ANR.

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for permission to file additional documents and office  
report)

WITH

SLP(C) NO. 882 of 2010

(With appln. for exemption from filing O.T., permission to file  
addl. documents, prayer for interim relief and office report)

SLP(C) NO. 879 of 2010

(With prayer for interim relief and office report)

SLP(C) NO. 1329 of 2010

(With prayer for interim relief and office report)

SLP(C) NO. 1388 of 2010

(With prayer for interim relief and office report)

SLP(C) NO. 1664 of 2010

(With prayer for interim relief and office report)

SLP(C) NO. 1932 of 2010

(With prayer for interim relief and office report)

SLP(C) NO. 3020 of 2010

(With office report)

SLP(C) NO. 2674 of 2010

(With prayer for interim relief and office report)

Date: 17/08/2012 These Petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AFTAB ALAM

HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE RANJANA PRAKASH DESAI

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. Samarth Sagar, Adv.

Mr. Arun K. Sinha, Adv.

Mr. Rakesh Singh, Adv.

Mr. Sumit Sinha, Adv.

: 2 :

....2/-

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Subramonium Prasad, Adv.

Ms. Varuna Bhandari Gugnani, Adv.

Mr. S.S. Salar, Adv.

Mr. Satinder S. Gulati, Adv.

for Mrs. Kamaldeep Gulati, Adv.

Ms. Susmita Lal, Adv.

Ms. Varuna Bhandari Gugnani, Adv.

Mr. Gagan Gupta, Adv.

Mr. C.D. Singh, Adv.

Mr. Upasana Nath, Adv.

Mr. Sunny Choudhary, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

SLP (C) No. 161 of 2010

During the pendency of this special leave petition, the petitioner - Ludhiana Improvement Trust, allotted a plot to the respondents. With that allotment, this special leave petition became infructuous. But, the matter does not end there. Within four days of the allotment of the plot, the respondent sold the plot allotted to him in favour of a third party and for that reason the Ludhiana Improvement Trust has given him a notice to show-cause why the allotment made in his favour may not be cancelled.

As noted above, so far as the present special leave petition is concerned, it was rendered infructuous on a plot being allotted to the respondents. It is dismissed as such.

It is, however, made clear that the dismissal of the special leave petition shall, in no way, affect the show-cause notice given to the respondents for cancellation of the allotment or the proceedings arising from the show-cause notice. That matter shall proceed in accordance with law.

....3/-

: 3 :

SLP (C) Nos. 882, 879, 1329, 1388, 1664, 1932, 3020 and 2674 of 2010

Leave granted.  
The appeals are allowed.

|(N.S.K. Kamesh)

| |(Sneh Bala Mehra)

|  
|Court Master

| |Court Master

|

(signed order is placed on the file)