ITEM NO.20 COURT NO.11 SECTION IVB

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).360/2010

(From the judgement and order dated 17/09/2009 in RSA No. 3974/2007
of The HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH)

HARMANJEET KAUR Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

KISHAN SINGH & ORS. Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for exemption from filing O.T. And with prayer for
interim relief)

Date: 15/01/2010 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G.S. SINGHVI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASOK KUMAR GANGULY

For Petitioner(s) Ms. Nidhi Gupta, Adv.
For Ms.S. Janani, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Having failed to persuade the High Court to interfere with the concurrent findings of fact recorded by the trial court and the lower appellate court in a suit for declaring sale deed dated 10.1.1990 as void on the ground that she was minor on the date of execution thereof, the petitioner has invoked jurisdiction of this Court under Article 136 of the Constitution of India.

We have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and perused the record. In our opinion, the concurrent findings recorded by the two courts were

2

based on a correct appreciation of the factual matrix of the case and evidence produced by the parties and the High Court did not commit any error by refusing to entertain the second appeal.

The Special Leave Petition is dismissed.

(Satish K.Yadav)
Court Master

(Om Parkash)
Court Master