

**IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA  
INHERENT JURISDICTION**

**Review Petition (C) No 323 of 2022**

**In**

**Civil Appeal No 4200 of 2015**

**Dr Neeraj Chaubey**

**...Petitioner**

**Versus**

**State of Uttar Pradesh and Others**

**...Respondents**

**ORDER**

- 1 Application for permission to appear and argue in person is dismissed.
- 2 We have carefully gone through the review petition and the connected papers. We find no merit in the review petition and the same is accordingly dismissed.

.....J.  
**[Dr Dhananjaya Y Chandrachud]**

.....J.  
**[Sanjiv Khanna]**

New Delhi;  
April 19, 2022  
CKB

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

R.P.(C) No.323/2022 in C.A. No.4200/2015

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 25-01-2022 in C.A. No.4200/2015 passed by the Supreme Court of India)

DR NEERAJ CHAUBEY

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

THE STATE OF UP & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With IA No.34229/2022-EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T. and IA No.34231/2022-PERMISSION TO APPEAR AND ARGUE IN PERSON)

Date : 19-04-2022 These matters were circulated today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE D.Y. CHANDRACHUD  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV KHANNA

By Circulation

UPON perusing papers the Court made the following  
O R D E R

- 1 Application for permission to appear and argue in person is dismissed.
- 2 The review petition is dismissed in terms of the signed order.
- 3 Pending applications, if any, stand disposed of.

(CHETAN KUMAR)  
A.R. -cum-P.S.

(SAROJ KUMARI GAUR)  
Court Master

(Signed order is placed on the file)