

ITEM NO.2

COURT NO.11

SECTION XI

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No(s). 10319/2022

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 03-12-2021 in WRITC No. 25016/2021 passed by the High Court Of Judicature At Allahabad)

CENTRAL ELECTRO HOMEOPATHIC MEDICAL  
COUNCIL & ANR.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(FOR ADMISSION and I.R. and IA No.81846/2022-EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT and IA No.81847/2022-EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T. and IA No.81848/2022-PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS/FACTS/ANNEXURES )

Date : 29-07-2022 This petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY RASTOGI  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE C.T. RAVIKUMAR

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Dhruv Surana, Adv.  
Mr. Ashish Choudhury, Adv.  
Ms. Ravina Surana, Adv.  
Mr. Rohit Amit Sthalekar, AOR

For Respondent(s)

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that although his representation earlier made by him was decided by the State Government/Competent Authority by an order dated 15.09.2011, despite that they were not permitted to practice unless there is a legislation which may prohibit thereto. Still, when they were restrained from practice in Electro Homeopathy, they made a fresh

representation dated 6.10.2018 to the State Government (Respondent No.3).

Since the said representation was not decided, that was the reason to approach the High Court by filing a writ petition under Article 226 of the Constitution seeking a direction to the State Government for deciding the pending representation filed by the petitioner.

Learned counsel submits that the High Court at the motion stage should have at least called an explanation from the State Government as to why the representation still has not been decided by the competent authority.

In the given circumstances, it is appropriate to dispose of the special leave petition with a direction that let the competent authority may look into the representation made by the petitioners dated 06.10.2018 and shall be disposed of by passing a self-speaking order within three months.

With the aforesaid directions the special leave petition stands disposed of.

Pending application(s), if any, stands disposed of accordingly.

(MONIKA DEY)  
COURT MASTER (NSH)

(ASHWANI THAKUR)  
ASTT. REGISTRAR-cum-PS