

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA  
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO. \_\_\_\_\_ OF 2025  
(Arising out of SLP (C)No. 4409 OF 2022)

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA .....APPELLANT(S)

VERSUS

BABASO AND ANR. ....RESPONDENT(S)

O R D E R

1. Leave granted.
2. The appellant lays challenge to the impugned judgment dated 04.05.2021 in Writ Petition No.3595 of 2021 titled "*Babaso vs. The State of Maharashtra & Anr.*" passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay Bench at Aurangabad.
3. We have heard the learned counsel for the parties.
4. In the attending facts and circumstances of the case, more so, in view of the order dated 01.03.1996 in Writ Petition No.1993 of 1994 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay titled "*Shri Baba Sahab Mallappa Koli vs. The Chairman, the Committee for Security and Verification of Tribal Claims.*" having attained finality, we are not inclined to interfere with the impugned order assailed in the present appeal.
5. The Civil Appeal stands disposed of.
6. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

.....J.  
[SANJAY KAROL]

.....J.  
[MANOJ MISRA]

NEW DELHI  
5<sup>th</sup> MAY, 2025

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA  
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO. \_\_\_\_\_ OF 2025  
(Arising out of SLP (C)No.5841 OF 2022)

THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER @  
APPOINTING AUTHORITY AND ANR. . . . .APPELLANT(S)

VERSUS  
RAM YASHWANTA KOLI AND ANR. . . . .RESPONDENT(S)

O R D E R

1. Leave granted.
2. The appellants lay challenge to the impugned judgment dated 22.09.2021 passed by the High Court of Judicature of Bombay, Bench at Aurangabad in Writ Petition No. 6250 of 2020 titled "*Ram Yashwanta Koli Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.*"
3. We have heard the learned counsel for the parties.
4. In the attending facts and circumstances of the case, we are not inclined to interfere with the impugned judgment assailed in the present appeal.
5. The Civil Appeal stands disposed of.
6. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

.....J.  
[SANJAY KAROL]

.....J.  
[MANOJ MISRA]

NEW DELHI  
5TH MAY, 2025

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA  
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO. \_\_\_\_\_ OF 2025  
(Arising out of SLP (C)No.19696 OF 2022)

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA .....APPELLANT(S)

VERSUS

VIJAY & ORS. ....RESPONDENT(S)

O R D E R

1. Leave granted.
2. The appellant lays challenge to the impugned judgment dated 04.05.2021 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay Bench at Aurangabad in Writ Petition No. 8178 of 2020 titled "*Vijay vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.*"
3. We have heard the learned counsel for the parties.
4. In the attending facts and circumstances of the case, more so, in view of the order dated 21.09.2018 in Writ Petition No.10454 of 2018 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay, Bench at Aurangabad titled "*Vijay Jagannath Shirsath vs.The State of Maharashtra & Ors.*" having attained finality, we are not inclined to interfere with the impugned judgment assailed in the present appeal.
5. The Civil Appeal stands disposed of.
6. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

.....J.  
[SANJAY KAROL]

.....J.  
[MANOJ MISRA]

NEW DELHI  
5<sup>th</sup> MAY, 2025

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA  
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO. \_\_\_\_\_ OF 2025  
(Arising out of SLP (C)No. 18956 OF 2022)

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA & ANR. . . . . APPELLANT(S)

VERSUS

PRAKASH . . . . . RESPONDENT(S)

O R D E R

1. Leave granted.
2. The appellants lay challenge to the impugned judgment dated 04.05.2021 in Writ Petition No.8984/2020 titled "*Prakash Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Anr.*" passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay, Bench at Aurangabad.
3. We have heard the learned counsel for the parties.
4. In the attending facts and circumstances of the case, more so, in view of the judgment and final order 04.04.2014 in Writ Petition No.3187/2014 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay, Bench at Aurangabad titled "*Prakash Mahadu Shirsat Vs. The State of Maharashtra and Ors.*", having attained finality, we are not inclined to interfere with the impugned judgment assailed in the present appeal.
5. The Civil Appeal stands disposed of.
6. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

.....J.  
[SANJAY KAROL]

.....J.  
[MANOJ MISRA]

NEW DELHI  
5<sup>th</sup> MAY, 2025

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA  
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO. \_\_\_\_\_ OF 2025  
(Arising out of SLP (C)No. 20319 OF 2022)

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA & ANR. . . . .APPELLANT(S)

VERSUS

ANIL & ANR. . . . .RESPONDENT(S)

O R D E R

1. Leave granted.
2. The appellants lay challenge to the impugned judgment dated 04.05.2021 in Writ Petition No.6835 of 2020 titled "*Anil vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.*" passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay, Bench at Aurangabad.
3. We have heard the learned counsel for the parties.
4. In the attending facts and circumstances of the case, more so, in view of the judgment dated 04.04.2014 in Writ Petition No.9540 of 2013 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay, titled "*Anil Madhavrao Parate vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.*" having attained finality, we are not inclined to interfere with the impugned judgment assailed in the present appeal.
5. The Civil Appeal stands disposed of.
6. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

.....J.  
[SANJAY KAROL]

.....J.  
[MANOJ MISRA]

NEW DELHI  
5TH MAY, 2025

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA  
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO. \_\_\_\_\_ OF 2025  
(Arising out of SLP (C)No. 18808 OF 2022)

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA .....APPELLANT(S)

VERSUS

SANGITA & ANR. ....RESPONDENT(S)

O R D E R

1. Leave granted.
2. The appellant lays challenge to the impugned judgment and order dated 04.05.2021 in Writ Petition No.8970 of 2020 titled "*Sangita vs. The State of Maharashtra & Anr.*" passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay, Bench at Aurangabad.
3. We have heard the learned counsel for the parties.
4. In the attending facts and circumstances of the case, we are not inclined to interfere with the impugned judgment assailed in the present appeal.
5. The Civil Appeal stands disposed of.
6. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

.....J.  
[SANJAY KAROL]

.....J.  
[MANOJ MISRA]

NEW DELHI  
5TH MAY, 2025

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA  
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO. \_\_\_\_\_ OF 2025  
(Arising out of SLP (C)No. \_\_\_\_\_ OF 2025  
@ Dy. No.21853/2022)

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA & ANR. . . . .APPELLANT(S)

VERSUS

NAMDEO . . . . .RESPONDENT(S)

O R D E R

1. Delay condoned.
2. Leave granted.
3. The appellants lay challenge to the impugned judgment and final order dated 04.05.2021 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay, Bench at Aurangabad in Writ Petition No. 888 of 2021 titled "*Namdeo vs. State of Maharashtra & Anr.*"
4. We have heard the learned counsel for the parties.
5. In the attending facts and circumstances of the case, more so, in view of the judgment dated 10.09.2004 in Writ Petition No. 1302 of 1992 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay titled "*Namdeo vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.*" having attained finality, we are not inclined to interfere with the impugned judgment assailed in the present appeal.
6. The Civil Appeal stands disposed of.
7. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

.....J.  
[SANJAY KAROL]

.....J.  
[MANOJ MISRA]

NEW DELHI  
5TH MAY, 2025

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA  
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO. \_\_\_\_\_ OF 2025  
(Arising out of SLP (C) No.932 OF 2023)

STATE OF MAHARASHTRA .....APPELLANT(S)

VERSUS

SUNIL TARACHAND NIKUME & ANR. ....RESPONDENT(S)

O R D E R

1. Leave granted.
2. The appellant lays challenge to the impugned judgment dated 04.05.2021 in Writ Petition No.3626/2021 titled "*Sunil Tarachand Nikume Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Anr.*" passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay, Bench at Aurangabad.
3. We have heard the learned counsel for the parties.
4. In the attending facts and circumstances of the case, we are not inclined to interfere with the impugned judgment assailed in the present appeal.
5. The Civil Appeal stands disposed of.
6. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

.....J.  
[SANJAY KAROL]

.....J.  
[MANOJ MISRA]

NEW DELHI  
5<sup>th</sup> MAY, 2025

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA  
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO. \_\_\_\_\_ OF 2025  
(Arising out of SLP (C)No.19303 OF 2022)

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA .....APPELLANT(S)

VERSUS

PRALHAD & ANR. ....RESPONDENT(S)

O R D E R

1. Leave granted.
2. The appellant lays challenge to the impugned judgment dated 04.05.2021 in Writ Petition No.3528 of 2021 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay, Bench at Aurangabad titled "*Pralhad vs. The State of Maharashtra & Anr.*".
3. We have heard the learned counsel for the parties.
4. In the attending facts and circumstances of the case, we are not inclined to interfere with the impugned judgment assailed in the present appeal.
5. The Civil Appeal stands disposed of.
6. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

.....J.  
[SANJAY KAROL]

.....J.  
[MANOJ MISRA]

NEW DELHI  
5TH MAY, 2025

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA  
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO. \_\_\_\_\_ OF 2025  
(Arising out of SLP (C)No.19316 OF 2022)

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA . . . . .APPELLANT(S)

VERSUS

PRAVIN & ANR. . . . .RESPONDENT(S)

O R D E R

1. Leave granted.

2. The appellant lays challenge to the impugned judgment and final order dated 04.05.2021 in Writ Petition No.894 of 2021 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay, Bench at Aurangabad titled "*Pravin vs. The State of Maharashtra & Anr.*".

3. We have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

4. In the attending facts and circumstances of the case, more so, in view of the order dated 20.02.2014 in Writ Petition No.4229 of 2013 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay, Bench at Nagpur titled "*Pravin vs The Principal, Shrikrishnadas Jajoo Grameen Seva Mahavidyalaya & Ors.*" having attained finality, we are not inclined to interfere with the impugned judgment assailed in the present appeal.

5. The Civil Appeal stands disposed of.

6. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

.....J.  
[SANJAY KAROL]

.....J.  
[MANOJ MISRA]

NEW DELHI  
5<sup>th</sup> MAY, 2025

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA  
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO. \_\_\_\_\_ OF 2025  
(Arising out of SLP (C) No.18113 of 2022)

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA & ANR.

APPELLANT(S)

VERSUS

SHRIRAM S/O RATAN DEVAROY

RESPONDENT(S)

O R D E R

1. Leave granted.
2. The appellants lay challenge to the impugned judgment and final order dated 04.05.2021 in Writ Petition No.2928 of 2020, passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay, Bench at Aurangabad, titled "*Shriram vs. State of Maharashtra & Anr.*"
3. We have heard the learned counsel for the parties.
4. In the attending facts and circumstances of the case, we are not inclined to interfere with the impugned judgment assailed in the present appeal.
5. The Civil Appeal stands disposed of.
6. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

.....J.  
(SANJAY KAROL)

.....J.  
(MANOJ MISRA)

NEW DELHI;  
5<sup>th</sup> MAY, 2025.

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA  
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO. \_\_\_\_\_ OF 2025  
(Arising out of SLP (C) No.19864 of 2022)

STATE OF MAHARASHTRA & ORS.

APPELLANT(S)

VERSUS

KU. GANGA KAMLAKAR PATIL (DHORE),  
(SAU. GANGA SUBHASH BUDH) & ANR.

RESPONDENT(S)

O R D E R

1. Leave granted.
2. The appellants lay challenge to the impugned judgment and final order dated 04.05.2021 in Writ Petition No.3369 of 2021, passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay, Bench at Aurangabad, titled "*Ku. Ganga Kamlakar Patil (Dhore) vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.*".
3. We have heard the learned counsel for the parties.
4. In the attending facts and circumstances of the case, more so, in view of the order dated 07.08.2013 in Writ Petition No.3955 of 2013, passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay, Bench at Nagpur, titled "*Ku. Ganga daughter of Kamlakar Patil vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.*", we are not inclined to interfere with the impugned judgment assailed in the present appeal.
5. The Civil Appeal stands disposed of.
6. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

.....J.  
(SANJAY KAROL)

.....J.  
(MANOJ MISRA)

NEW DELHI;  
5<sup>th</sup> MAY, 2025.

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA  
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO. \_\_\_\_\_ OF 2025  
(Arising out of SLP (C) No.19697 of 2022)

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA

APPELLANT(S)

VERSUS

SURESH BABAN SAMBARE & ANR.

RESPONDENT(S)

O R D E R

1. Leave granted.
2. The appellant lays challenge to the impugned judgment and final order dated 04.05.2021 in Writ Petition No.9032 of 2020, passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay, Bench at Aurangabad, titled "*Suresh Baban Sambare vs. The State of Maharashtra & Anr.*".
3. We have heard the learned counsel for the parties.
4. In the attending facts and circumstances of the case, more so, in view of the order dated 01.03.2017 in Writ Petition No.6069 of 2013, passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay, titled "*Suresh Baban Sambare vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.*", we are not inclined to interfere with the impugned judgment assailed in the present appeal.
5. The Civil Appeal stands disposed of.
6. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

.....J.  
(SANJAY KAROL)

.....J.  
(MANOJ MISRA)

NEW DELHI;  
5<sup>th</sup> MAY, 2025.

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA  
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO. \_\_\_\_\_ OF 2025  
(Arising out of SLP (C) No.18114 of 2022)

STATE OF MAHARASHTRA & ANR.

APPELLANT(S)

VERSUS

GOPAL & ORS.

RESPONDENT(S)

O R D E R

1. Leave granted.
2. The appellants lay challenge to the impugned judgment and final order dated 04.05.2021 in Writ Petition No.3394 of 2021, passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay, Bench at Aurangabad, titled "*Gopal vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.*".
3. We have heard the learned counsel for the parties.
4. In the attending facts and circumstances of the case, more so, in view of the order dated 14.08.2013 in Writ Petition No.3871 of 2013, passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay, Bench at Nagpur, titled "*Gopal vs. Scheduled Tribe Case Certificate Scrutiny Committee & Anr.*", we are not inclined to interfere with the impugned judgment assailed in the present appeal.
5. The Civil Appeal stands disposed of.
6. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

.....J.  
(SANJAY KAROL)

.....J.  
(MANOJ MISRA)

NEW DELHI;  
5<sup>th</sup> MAY, 2025.

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA  
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO. \_\_\_\_\_ OF 2025  
(Arising out of SLP (C) No.19314 of 2022)

STATE OF MAHARASHTRA & ORS.

APPELLANT(S)

VERSUS

VASANT & ANR.

RESPONDENT(S)

O R D E R

1. Leave granted.
2. The appellants lay challenge to the impugned judgment and final order dated 04.05.2021 in Writ Petition No.3379 of 2021, passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay, Bench at Aurangabad, titled "*Vasant vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.*".
3. We have heard the learned counsel for the parties.
4. In the attending facts and circumstances of the case, more so, in view of the order dated 14.08.2013 in Writ Petition No.4366 of 2013, passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay, Bench at Nagpur, titled "*Vasanta vs. Scheduled Tribe Caste Certificate Scrutiny Committee & Anr.*", we are not inclined to interfere with the impugned judgment assailed in the present appeal.
5. The Civil Appeal stands disposed of.
6. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

.....J.  
(SANJAY KAROL)

.....J.  
(MANOJ MISRA)

NEW DELHI;  
5<sup>th</sup> MAY, 2025.

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA  
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO. \_\_\_\_\_ OF 2025  
(Arising out of SLP (C) No.19315 of 2022)

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA & ANR.

APPELLANT(S)

VERSUS

KU. NEETA

RESPONDENT(S)

O R D E R

1. Leave granted.
2. The appellants lay challenge to the impugned judgment and final order dated 04.05.2021 in Writ Petition No.845 of 2021, passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay, Bench at Aurangabad, titled "*Ku. Neeta vs. The State of Maharashtra & Anr.*".
3. We have heard the learned counsel for the parties.
4. In the attending facts and circumstances of the case, more so, in view of the order dated 27.01.2015 in Writ Petition No.2458 of 2013, passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay, Bench at Nagpur, titled "*Ku. Nita Prabhakarrao Barapatre vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.*", we are not inclined to interfere with the impugned judgment assailed in the present appeal.
5. The Civil Appeal stands disposed of.
6. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

.....J.  
(SANJAY KAROL)

.....J.  
(MANOJ MISRA)

NEW DELHI;  
5<sup>th</sup> MAY, 2025.

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA  
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO. \_\_\_\_\_ OF 2025  
(Arising out of SLP (C) No.18112 of 2022)

STATE OF MAHARASHTRA & ANR.

APPELLANT(S)

VERSUS

VIJAY & ANR.

RESPONDENT(S)

O R D E R

1. Leave granted.
2. The appellants lay challenge to the impugned judgment and final order dated 04.05.2021 in Writ Petition No.3390 of 2021, passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay, Bench at Aurangabad, titled "*Vijay vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.*".
3. We have heard the learned counsel for the parties.
4. In the attending facts and circumstances of the case, more so, in view of the order dated 05.08.2013 in Writ Petition No.3560 of 2013, passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay, Bench at Nagpur, titled "*Vijay vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.*", we are not inclined to interfere with the impugned judgment assailed in the present appeal.
5. The Civil Appeal stands disposed of.
6. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

.....J.  
(SANJAY KAROL)

.....J.  
(MANOJ MISRA)

NEW DELHI;  
5<sup>th</sup> MAY, 2025.

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA  
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO. \_\_\_\_\_ OF 2025  
(Arising out of SLP (C) No.19312 of 2022)

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA & ANR.

APPELLANT(S)

VERSUS

VASANTA & ORS.

RESPONDENT(S)

O R D E R

1. Leave granted.
2. The appellants lay challenge to the impugned judgment and final order dated 04.05.2021 in Writ Petition No.935 of 2021, passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay, Bench at Aurangabad, titled "*Vasanta & Ors. vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.*".
3. We have heard the learned counsel for the parties.
4. In the attending facts and circumstances of the case, more so, in view of the order dated 19.11.2015 in Writ Petition No.5684 of 2015, passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay, Bench at Nagpur, titled "*Vasant vs. Joint Commissioner and Vice Chairman, Scheduled Tribe Caste Certificate Scrutiny Committee & Ors.*", we are not inclined to interfere with the impugned judgment assailed in the present appeal.
5. The Civil Appeal stands disposed of.
6. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

.....J.  
(SANJAY KAROL)

.....J.  
(MANOJ MISRA)

NEW DELHI;  
5<sup>th</sup> MAY, 2025.

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA  
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO. \_\_\_\_\_ OF 2025  
(Arising out of SLP (C) No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 2025)  
(@ Diary No.7827 of 2025)

THE CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER,  
ZILA PARISHAD, AKOLA & ANR.

APPELLANT(S)

VERSUS

VIJAY & ANR.

RESPONDENT(S)

O R D E R

1. Delay condoned.
2. Leave granted.
3. The appellants lay challenge to the impugned judgment and final order dated 10.07.2024 in Writ Petition No.3655 of 2024, passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay, Bench at Nagpur, titled "*Vijay vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.*".
4. We have heard the learned counsel for the parties.
5. In the attending facts and circumstances of the case, more so, in view of the order dated 21.08.2013 in Writ Petition No.4019 of 2013, passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay, Bench at Nagpur, titled "*Vijay vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.*", we are not inclined to interfere with the impugned order assailed in the present appeal.
6. The Civil Appeal stands disposed of.
7. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

.....J.  
(SANJAY KAROL)

.....J.  
(MANOJ MISRA)

NEW DELHI;  
5<sup>th</sup> MAY, 2025.

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA  
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO. \_\_\_\_\_ OF 2025  
(Arising out of SLP (C) No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 2025)  
(@ Diary No.15060 of 2025)

THE CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER,  
ZILA PARISHAD, AKOLA & ANR.

APPELLANT(S)

VERSUS

KALPANA & ANR.

RESPONDENT(S)

O R D E R

1. Delay condoned.
2. Leave granted.
3. The appellants lay challenge to the impugned judgment and final order dated 21.06.2024 in Writ Petition No.3656 of 2024, passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay, Bench at Nagpur, titled "*Kalpana vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.*".
4. We have heard the learned counsel for the parties.
5. In the attending facts and circumstances of the case, more so, in view of the order dated 22.04.2015 in Writ Petition No.4023 of 2013, passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay, Bench at Nagpur, titled "*Kalpana vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.*", we are not inclined to interfere with the impugned order assailed in the present appeal.
6. The Civil Appeal stands disposed of.
7. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

.....J.  
(SANJAY KAROL)

.....J.  
(MANOJ MISRA)

NEW DELHI;  
5<sup>th</sup> MAY, 2025.

ITEM NO.90

COURT NO.16

SECTION IX

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No(s).4409/2022

[Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 04-05-2021 in WP No.3595/2021 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay at Aurangabad]

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA

PETITIONER(S)

VERSUS

BABASO & ANR.

RESPONDENT(S)

IA No. 36205/2022 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT

IA No. 36206/2022 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.

WITH

SLP(C) No. 5841/2022 (IX)

IA No. 48363/2022 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING AFFIDAVIT

IA No. 48360/2022 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT

IA No. 48362/2022 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.

W.P.(C) No. 240/2022 (X)

IA No. 50175/2022 - APPROPRIATE ORDERS/DIRECTIONS

IA No. 50177/2022 - EX-PARTE STAY

IA No. 50178/2022 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.

SLP(C) No. 19696/2022 (IX)

IA No. 142947/2022 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT

IA No. 142948/2022 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.

SLP(C) No. 18956/2022 (IX)

IA No. 139876/2022 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT

IA No. 139877/2022 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.

SLP(C) No. 20319/2022 (IX)

IA No. 138334/2022 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT

IA No. 138336/2022 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.

SLP(C) No. 18808/2022 (IX)

IA No. 143815/2022 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT

IA No. 143816/2022 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.

Diary No(s). 21853/2022 (IX)

IA No. 148370/2022 - CONDONATION OF DELAY IN FILING

IA No. 148369/2022 - CONDONATION OF DELAY IN REFILING / CURING THE DEFECTS

IA No. 148371/2022 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT

IA No. 148373/2022 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.

SLP(C) No. 932/2023 (IX)

IA No. 162005/2022 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT

IA No. 162006/2022 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.

SLP(C) No. 19303/2022 (IX)

FOR EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT ON IA 145565/2022

FOR EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T. ON IA 145566/2022

FOR EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T. ON IA 225397/2023

IA No. 145565/2022 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT

IA No. 145566/2022 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.

IA No. 225397/2023 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.

SLP(C) No. 19316/2022 (IX)

IA No. 144111/2022 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT

IA No. 144112/2022 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.

SLP(C) No. 18113/2022 (IX)

IA No. 139999/2022 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT

IA No. 140000/2022 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.

SLP(C) No. 19864/2022 (IX)

IA No. 143698/2022 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT

IA No. 143699/2022 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.

SLP(C) No. 19697/2022 (IX)

IA No. 143408/2022 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT

IA No. 143409/2022 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.

SLP(C) No. 18114/2022 (IX)

IA No. 145206/2022 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED

**JUDGMENT**

**IA No. 145209/2022 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.**

**SLP(C) No. 19314/2022 (IX)**

**IA No. 143393/2022 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT**

**IA No. 143396/2022 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.**

**SLP(C) No. 19315/2022 (IX)**

**IA No. 148802/2022 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT**

**IA No. 148803/2022 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.**

**SLP(C) No. 18112/2022 (IX)**

**IA No. 138508/2022 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT**

**IA No. 138509/2022 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.**

**SLP(C) No. 19312/2022 (IX)**

**IA No. 145450/2022 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT**

**IA No. 145451/2022 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.**

**Diary No(s). 7827/2025 (IX)**

**FOR CONDONATION OF DELAY IN FILING ON IA 56487/2025  
FOR EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT ON IA  
56495/2025**

**FOR EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T. ON IA 56497/2025**

**IA No. 56487/2025 - CONDONATION OF DELAY IN FILING**

**IA No. 56495/2025 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT**

**IA No. 56497/2025 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.**

**Diary No(s). 15060/2025 (IX)**

**IA No. 79950/2025 - CONDONATION OF DELAY IN FILING**

**IA No. 79947/2025 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT**

**IA No. 79948/2025 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.**

**Date : 05-05-2025 These matters were called on for hearing today.**

**CORAM :**

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KAROL**

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ MISRA**

**For Petitioner(s) :**

Mr. Sudhanshu S. Choudhari, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. Shirish K. Deshpande, AOR  
Ms. Rucha Pravin Mandlik, Adv.  
Mr. Mohit Gautam, Adv.  
Mr. Apoorv Sharma, Adv.  
Mr. Rishi Didwania, Adv.  
Ms. Gautami Yadav, Adv.  
Ms. Pranjal Chapalgaonkar, Adv.

Mr. Prashant R. Dahat, Adv.  
Ms. Priya Mittal, Adv.  
Mr. Shah Nawaz, Adv.  
Mr. T. R. B. Sivakumar, AOR

Mr. K. Parmeshwar, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. K. Parameshwar, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. Aaditya Aniruddha Pande, AOR  
Mr. Siddharth Dharmadhikari, Adv.  
Mr. Shrirang B. Varma, Adv.  
Mr. Bharat Bagla, Adv.  
Mr. Sourav Singh, Adv.  
Mr. Aditya Krishna, Adv.  
Mr. Adarsh Dubey, Adv.

Mr. Anand Dilip Landge, AOR  
Mrs. Sangeeta Nenwani, Adv.  
Ms. Revati P. Kharde, Adv.  
Mr. Sumit Kumar, Adv.  
Mr. Rahul Prakash Pathak, Adv.

**For Respondent(s) :**

Mr. Gagan Sanghi, Adv.  
Mrs. Farah Hashmi, Adv.  
Mr. Rameshwar Prasad Goyal, AOR

Mr. Sandeep Sudhakar Deshmukh, AOR  
Mr. Nishant Sharma, Adv.  
Mr. Ankur S. Savadikar, Adv.  
Mr. Viraj M. Parakh, Adv.

Mr. Shailesh Narnaware, Adv.  
Mr. Shrey Ravi Dambhare, AOR

Mr. Abdulrahiman Tamboli, Adv.  
Mr. Rahul Joshi, AOR

Mrs. B. Sunita Rao, AOR

Mr. Aaditya Aniruddha Pande, AOR  
Ms. Bharti Tyagi, AOR

M/S. Black & White Solicitors, AOR  
Mr. Suhaskumar Kadam, Adv.

Mr. J N Singh, Adv.  
Mr. Saurabh Mishra, AOR  
Mr. Satvik Tripathi, Adv.  
Ms. Sadhana Singh, Adv.  
Mr. Ronak Baid, Adv.

Mr. Shantanu M. Adkar, Adv.

Upon hearing the counsel the Court made the following  
**O R D E R**

**W.P.(C) No.240 of 2022:**

De-tag and list this petition on 18.07.2025.

**Civil Appeals @ Diary Nos.21853/2022, 7827/2025 & 15060/2025:**

Delay condoned.

Leave granted.

The appeals are disposed of in terms of the signed orders,  
which are placed on the file.

Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

**Rest of the matters:**

Leave granted.

The appeals are disposed of in terms of the signed orders,  
which are placed on the file.

Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

(D. NAVEEN)  
COURT MASTER (SH)

(ANU BHALLA)  
COURT MASTER (NSH)

(Total 20 signed orders are placed on the file)