

**ITEM NO.7**

**COURT NO.11**

**SECTION IX**

**S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S**

**SPECIAL LEAVE PETITION (CIVIL) Diary No(s). 461/2026**

**[Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 17-10-2024 in SA No. 195/2022 26-09-2025 in CAR No. 145/2025 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay at Nagpur]**

**SHRADDHA**

**Petitioner(s)**

**VERSUS**

**JAIPRAKASH MAHADEOJI RINWA & ORS.**

**Respondent(s)**

**(FOR ADMISSION, I.R., IA No. 21211/2026 - CONDONATION OF DELAY IN FILING, IA No. 21212/2026 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT AND IA No. 21213/2026 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.)**

**WITH**

**Diary No(s). 489/2026 (IX)**

**(FOR ADMISSION, I.R., IA No. 23896/2026 - CONDONATION OF DELAY IN FILING, IA No. 23897/2026 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT AND IA No. 23898/2026 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.)**

**Date : 12-02-2026 These matters were called on for hearing today.**

**CORAM :**

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KAROL  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NONGMEIKAPAM KOTISWAR SINGH**

**For Petitioner(s) :Mr. Vipin Sanghi, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. Satyajit A. Desai, Adv.  
Mr. Shashank Upadhyay, Adv.  
Mr. Sachin Singh, Adv.  
Mr. Madhur Duggal, Adv.  
Mr. Parth Johri, Adv.  
Mr. Sanchit Agnihotri, Adv.  
Mr. Pratik Kumar Singh, Adv.  
Ms. Anagha S. Desai, AOR**

**For Respondent(s) :Mr. Kunal Cheema, AOR  
Mr. Akshaya Sudame, Adv.  
Mr. Raghav Deshpande, Adv.  
Mr. Shubham Chandankhede, Adv.  
Mr. Rushabh Tripathi, Adv.  
Ms. Kirti, Adv.**

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

1. The petitioners challenge the judgment and order dated 17.10.2024 in SA No. 195/2022 and 26-09-2025 in CAR No. 145/2025 "titled "Sau. Shraddha vs. Jaiprakash Mahadeoji Rinwa & Ors.", passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay, Nagpur.
2. Mr. Vipin Sanghi, learned senior counsel appearing for the petitioners, submits that the application for possession was barred by limitation. As such, the execution could not have taken place. Further, the petitioners are *bona fide* purchaser(s) unaware of the *lis, inter-se* the respondents.
3. Further, the High Court erred in not answering the questions of law at the time of Regular Second appeal. In any event petitioners are ready and willing to amicably resolve their disputes.
4. Delay condoned.
5. Issue notice, returnable on 23.03.2026.
6. Mr. Kunal Cheema, learned Advocate-on-Record, appears and accepts notice on behalf of respondent no.3 in both petitions. Hence, service of formal notice to respondent no.3 is waived off. Let the appearing party file counter affidavit within two weeks. Rejoinder thereto, if any, be filed within one week thereafter.
7. Let notice be issued to the remaining respondents.
8. Dasti service, in addition, is permitted. Let steps for service be taken within two weeks.

9. In the notice itself let it be mentioned that respondents are required to file their counter affidavit and reply to the interlocutory application(s), if any, before the next date of listing.

10. In the meanwhile, status quo qua the nature, possession and title of the suit property, as it exists today, shall be maintained by the parties.

(RAJNI MUKHI)  
ASTT. REGISTRAR-cum-PS

(ANU BHALLA)  
COURT MASTER (NSH)