

ITEM No.66

COURT NO.4

SECTION XI

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

I.A.Nos.3-5/2015 in Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C)
No(s).2044/2015

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 20/11/2014
in WP No.86/2014 passed by the High Court Of Judicature at
Allahabad, Lucknow Bench, Lucknow)

VISHNU DUTT AND ORS.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

YOGENDRA KUMAR GUPTA

Respondent(s)

(For extension of time and discharge of advocate on record and
exemption from filing O.T. and office report)
With Conmt.Pet.(C)No.641/2015 in SLP(C)No.2044/2015
(With office report)

Date : 05/10/2015 These applications/petitions were called on for
hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGDISH SINGH KHEHAR
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE R. BANUMATHI

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. Praveen Jain, Adv.

Mr.Rajesh Srivastava, Adv.
Mr.Abhishek Yadav, Adv.
Mr.Raghvendra Pratap, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Anoop Kr. Srivastav, Adv.
Mr.Vipin Kumar Saxena, Adv.
Mr.Rajiv Kumar Sinha, Adv.

Mr. Umesh Kumar Khaitan, Adv.

Upon hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Learned counsel for the applicants-petitioners states

that the premises in question has been vacated by the petitioners

Signature Not Verified

Digitally signed by

on 04.10.2015, and as such, the application for extension of time

Satish Kumar Yadav

Date: 2015.10.06

14:22:00 IST

Reason:

has been rendered infructuous.

The same is accordingly disposed of

as having been rendered infructuous.

I.A.Nos.3-5/15 in SLP(C) 2044/15 2

I.A.Nos.4 and 5 are allowed as prayed for.

The contempt petition has also been rendered infructuous

on account of the factual position that the premises in question

was vacated on 04.10.2015 and the same is disposed of as such.

(SATISH KUMAR YADAV)
AR-CUM-PS

(RENUKA SADANA)
COURT MASTER