

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

TRANSFER PETITION (C) NO. 107/2025

RASHMI VIPINRAJ NAIR PETITIONER(S)

VERSUS

VIPINRAJ RAJASEKHARAN NAIR RESPONDENT(S)

O R D E R

During the pendency of the present transfer petition, by order dated 27.02.2025, the parties were referred to mediation and have now settled their disputes, *vide* Settlement Agreement dated 16.04.2025.

The Settlement Agreement is also signed by the learned counsel appearing for the parties and the learned Mediator nominated by the Coordinator of the Supreme Court Mediation Centre.

A joint application for taking the settlement on record and for passing appropriate orders, including orders/directions under Article 142 constitution of India, is filed.

In view of the settlement agreement, the aforesaid application is allowed.

We take the Settlement Agreement dated 16.04.2025 on record and direct that the parties will be bound by the terms and conditions mentioned therein.

The petitioner, Rashmi Vipinraj Nair, and the respondent, Vipinraj Rajasekharan Nair, had gotten married on 10.11.2019 at Pune, Maharashtra, as per Hindu rites. However, they have been living separately since August, 2023. Several proceedings *inter se*

the parties are pending. It is not possible for them to reconcile their differences.

In view of this aforesaid position, we deem it appropriate to exercise our jurisdiction under Article 142 of the Constitution of India, dissolving the marriage *inter se* the parties with effect from the date of this order. A decree of divorce by mutual consent is passed. This is in accord with the Settlement Agreement dated 16.04.2025.

We also exercise our power under Article 142 of the Constitution of India and hereby quash/dispose of the following proceedings:

- 1) RCC No.5/2024, titled "*State of Maharashtra vs. Vipinraj Rajashekharan Nayar & Ors.*", pending before the learned Jt. Civil Judge, J.D. & JMFC, Pimpri Court, Pune, Maharashtra.
- 2) Case No. 244/2023, titled "*Sou Rashmi Vipinraj Nair vs. Vipinraj Rajashekharan Nayar*", pending before Jt. Civil Judge, J.D. & JMFC, Pimpri Court, Pune, Maharashtra.
- 3) Family Suit No. 647/2023, titled "*Vipinraj Rajashekharan Nayar vs. Rashmi Vipinraj Nair*", pending before the Family Court, Rajkot.

It is stated by the learned counsel for the parties that paragraph 2 of the Settlement Agreement dated 16.04.2025 has already been complied with.

Recording the aforesaid, the transfer petition is disposed

of.

Pending application(s), if any, shall be disposed of.

.....CJI.
(SANJIV KHANNA)

.....J.
(SANJAY KUMAR)

.....J.
(K.V. VISWANATHAN)

NEW DELHI;
MAY 06, 2025.

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGSTransfer Petition (Civil) No. 107/2025

RASHMI VIPINRAJ NAIR

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

VIPINRAJ RAJASHEKHARAN NAIR

Respondent(s)

[MEDIATION REPORT RECEIVED]

IA No. 104406/2025 - APPLICATION FOR SETTLEMENT

IA No. 10094/2025 - EX-PARTE STAY

IA No. 10096/2025 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.

Date : 06-05-2025 This matter was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K.V. VISWANATHAN

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Ashutosh Dubey, AOR
Mr. Rajendra D. Anbhule, Adv.
Ms. Rajshri A. Dubey, Adv.
Mr. Abhishek Chauhan, Adv.
Mr. Amit P. Shahi, Adv.
Mr. H. B. Dubey, Adv.
Mr. Amit Kumar, Adv.
Mr. Rahul Sethi, Adv.
Mr. Gaurav Yadav, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Pradhuman Gohil, Adv.
Mrs. Taruna Singh Gohil, AOR
Mr. Alapati Sahithya Krishna, Adv.
Ms. Hetvi Ketan Patel, Adv.
Mr. Rushabh N. Kapadia, Adv.
Ms. Taniya Bansal, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel, the Court made the following
O R D E RThe transfer petition is disposed of in terms of the signed
order.

Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

(BABITA PANDEY)
AR-CUM-PS(R.S. NARAYANAN)
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

(Signed order is placed on the file)

