

ITEM NO.28

COURT NO.8

SECTION IV-A

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No(s). 454/2023

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 13-12-2022 in WP No. 23265/2022 passed by the High Court of Karnataka at Bengaluru)

PRANITHA S.R.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

THE KARNATAKA EXAMINATION AUTHORITY & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(FOR ADMISSION)

WITH

SLP(C) No. 914/2023 (IV-A)

(FOR ADMISSION and IA No.6602/2023-EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T. and IA No.6606/2023-PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS/FACTS/ANNEXURES)

Date : 16-01-2023 These matters were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B.R. GAVAI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM NATH

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. K.V. Vishwanathan, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Vikash Chandra Shukla , AOR
Mr. Anantha Narayana M.G., Adv.
Mr. V.C. Shukla, Adv.
Mr. Tarun Gulia, Adv.
Mr. Sushant Bajaj, Adv.
Mr. Rahul Sangwan, Adv.
Mr. Navjot Singh, Adv.
Mr. Gopala Krishna M.L., Adv.
Mr. Rahul G. Tanwani, Adv.

Mr. Puthige Ramesh, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Ganesh Kumar R., AOR
Mr. Manjunath Meled, Adv.
Mr. Sandeep Sharma, Adv.
Mrs. Vijayalaxmi Udupudi, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Vikash Chandra Shukla , AOR
Mr. Shailesh Madiyal, Adv.
Mr. Anantha Narayana M.G., Adv.
Mr. V.C. Shukla, Adv.
Mr. Nitesh Ranjan, Adv.
Mr. Vinayak Pandit, Adv.
Mr. Piyush Singh, Adv.
Mr. Ajay Awasthi, Adv.
Mr. Aishvary Vikram, Adv.
Mr. M.L. Sharma, Adv.
Mr. Pushpraj Singh Parihar, Adv.
Mr. Venkata Krishna Kunduru, Adv.

M/S. Devasa & Co., AOR

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

We have heard Mr. Puthige Ramesh, learned senior counsel for the petitioner in SLP(C) No.454/2023. It is the contention of the petitioner that due to the impugned order, the petitioner who has participated in Chess Tournaments, has been held to be ineligible by the High Court.

Insofar as SLP(C) No.914/2023 is concerned, Mr. K.V. Vishwanathan, learned senior counsel appearing for the petitioner, submits that the petitioner has already been considered on merit for admission in Jawahar Lal Nehru Medical College Belagam. He submits that, however, after redoing the exercise the petitioner is found to be ineligible in the Sports Quota and there is likelihood that the petitioner may lose his seat in Jawahar Lal Nehru Medical College Belagam, to which he is entitled to according to General Merit List.

We find that apprehensions in both the petitions are misconceived. The impugned order(s) directs the exercise to be redone in accordance with the guidelines framed by the authorities concerned.

Page No.35 of the paper book in SLP(C) No.454/2023 would clearly specify the order in which the candidates have to be considered. As such, we do not find any merit in the contention of the petitioner in SLP(C) No.454/2023.

Insofar as the grievance of Mr. K.V. Vishwanathan, learned senior counsel appearing for the petitioner in SLP(C) No.914/2023 is concerned, the impugned order cannot be construed to be adversely affecting the right of the petitioner, if the petitioner is otherwise entitled to the General Merit.

Both these petitions are disposed of with the aforesaid observations.

Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

(NARENDRA PRASAD)
ASTT. REGISTRAR-cum-PS

(RENU BALA GAMBHIR)
COURT MASTER (NSH)