

ITEM NO.1501
(For Order)

COURT NO.5

SECTION X

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

WRIT PETITION(S) (CIVIL) NO(S). 229/2017

SIVANANDAN C.T. & ORS.

PETITIONER(S)

VERSUS

HIGH COURT OF KERALA & ORS.

RESPONDENT(S)

WITH W.P.(C) No. 232/2017 (X), W.P.(C) No. 379/2017 (X)
W.P.(C) No. 618/2017 (X)
(WITH IA No.65966/2017-APPROPRIATE ORDERS/DIRECTIONS)

Date : 14-11-2017 These matters were called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KURIAN JOSEPH
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE R. BANUMATHI

For the parties

Mr. V. Giri, Sr. Adv.
Mr. P.V. Dinesh, AOR
Ms. Sindhu T.P., Adv.
Mr. Bineesh K., Adv.
Mr. R. Beniwal, Adv.
Ms. Arushi Singh, Adv.
Mr. Krishnadev Jagarlamudi, Adv.
Mr. Manav Vohra, Adv.
Ms. Svadha Shankar, Adv.
Mr. Amit Krishna, Adv.

Mr. R. Basant, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Raghenth Basant, Adv.
Ms. Aanchal Tikmani, Adv.
Mr. Mithun Verghis, Adv.
Ms. Liz Mathew, AOR

Mr. C.N. Sree Kumar, AOR

Mr. K.P. Kylasanatha Pillay, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Sajith. P., AOR
Ms. Nazia Hasan, Adv.
Mr. Kumar Garurav, Adv.
Mr. Mohd. Monish, Adv.

Mr. Thomas P. Joseph, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Sajith. P., AOR
Mr. Mohd. Monish, Adv.

Mr. P.A. Noor Muhamed, AOR
Mrs. Giffara S., Adv.

Mr. Sunny Markose, Adv.
Mr. Md. Shajahan Islam, Adv.

Mr. Ranjith K. C., AOR

Mr. T.G. Narayanan Nair, AOR
Mr. K.N. Madhusoodhanan, Adv.

Mr. G. Prakash, Adv.
Mr. Jishnu M.L., Adv.
Mrs. Priyanka Prakash, Adv.
Mrs. Beena Prakash, Adv.
Mr. Vijay Shankar V.L., Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Hon'ble Mr. Justice Kurian Joseph pronounced the order of the Bench comprising His Lordship and Hon'ble Mrs. Justice R. Banumathi.

"5. Tej Prakash Pathak and others v. Rajasthan High Court and others¹ has, however, specifically doubted the correctness of Manjusree (supra) on the point whether *"...changing the rules of the game after the game was played... is clearly impermissible"* and has made a Reference to a larger Bench for an authoritative pronouncement. It is also relevant in this context to note that Salam Samarjeet Singh v. High Court of Manipur At Imphal and Anr.² which dealt with almost a similar issue was heard by a three Judge Bench in view of the difference of opinion and it has also since been posted along with Tej Prakash (supra) by order dated 10.08.2017. Hence, it is only appropriate to refer this matter also to the larger bench to be heard along with Tej Prakash (supra). Ordered accordingly."

(NARENDRA PRASAD)
COURT MASTER

(RENU DIWAN)
ASST. REGISTRAR

(Signed "Reportable" order is placed on the file)

¹ (2013) 4 SCC 540

² (2016) 10 SCC 484