

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Civil Appeal No(s).2634/2013

TEJ PRAKASH PATHAK & ORS.

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

RAJASTHAN HIGH COURT & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(ONLY I.A.NO.12049/2018 IN W.P.(C)NO.229/2017 AND I.A.NO. 22022/2018 IN W.P.(C)NO.379/2017 AND I.A.NO.24213/2018 IN W.P.(C)NO.232/2017 TO BE LISTED ON 23.07.2018}

WITH

[ITEM NO.46.1 - W.P.(C) No.229/2017 (X) (FOR CLARIFICATION/DIRECTION ON IA 12049/2018)];		
[ITEM NO.46.2 - W.P.(C) No.232/2017 (X) (FOR CLARIFICATION/DIRECTION ON IA 24213/2018)]; and		[LISTED]
[ITEM NO.46.3 - W.P.(C) No.379/2017 (X) (FOR CLARIFICATION/DIRECTION ON IA 22022/2018)].		

Date : 23-07-2018 These applications were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.M. KHANWILKAR
HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE D.Y. CHANDRACHUD

For Appellant(s) Ms. Aishwarya Bhati, Adv. [AOR]
Ms. Chitragada Rashtravada, Adv.
Ms. Vaidruti Mishra, Adv.
Ms. Ritu Approova, Adv.
Ms. Tanuja P., Adv.
Mr. Suresh Pandey, Adv.

Ms. Indira Jaising, Sr. Adv.
Mr. P. V. Dinesh, Adv. [AOR]
Ms. Sindhu T.P., Adv.
Mr. Bineesh K., Adv.
Ms. Arushi Singh, Adv.
Mr. R. Beniwal, Adv.

Mr. Raghenth Basant, Adv.
Mr. Mithun Verghis, Adv.
Ms. Liz Mathew, Adv. [AOR]

For Respondent(s) Mr. P.N. Ravindran, Adv.
Mr. C.N. Sree Kumar, Adv.
Mr. T.G. Narayanan Nair, Adv. [AOR]

Mr. P. A. Noor Muhamed, Adv. [AOR]

- 2 -

Mr. Ranjith K.C., Adv. [AOR]

Mr. Sajith. P, Adv. [AOR]

Mr. P.D. Baby John, Adv.

Mohd. Monish, Adv.

Ms. Sarika Verma, Adv.

Mr. Ankur S. Kulkarni, Adv. [AOR]

Mr. Rakeesh N.P., Adv.

Mr. Nishad L.S., Adv.

Ms. Anzu K. Varkey, Adv. [AOR]

Mr. Sunil K. Jain, Adv. [AOR]

Mr. Punya Garg, Adv.

Mr. Abhishek Jain, Adv.

Mr. G. Prakash, Adv. [AOR]

Mr. Jishnu M.L., Adv.

Mrs. Priyanka Prakash, Adv.

Mrs. Beena Prakash, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

These applications have been filed for directing the respondents to reserve the vacancies claimed in the writ petitions.

Having heard learned counsel for the parties and keeping in view the order dated 2nd September 2018, passed by this Court in I.A.Nos.9 and 10 of 2016 in W.P.(C)No.251 of 2016, we only direct that the selection made during the pendency of the writ petitions, shall be subject to the result of the present writ petitions.

As the matter has been referred to Constitution Bench, it should be heard expeditiously regard being had to the nature of the controversy.

All connected matters be listed before the Constitution Bench on a suitable date.

Needless to say, any appointment made shall be subject to the outcome of the present lis.

Interlocutory applications are disposed of accordingly.

(Subhash Chander)

(H.S. Parasher)

AR-cum-PS

Assistant Registrar