

ÈITEM NO.73

REGISTRAR COURT. 2 SECTION XIV  
S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS  
BEFORE THE REGISTRAR MR. M V RAMESH

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No(s). 11819/2016  
SARDAR BHAG SINGH (SINCE DECEASED) TH. LRS Petitioner(s)  
VERSUS

VIKRAM SANDHU Respondent(s)  
(with appln. (s) for permission to file synopsis and list of dates  
and interim relief and office report)  
Date : 27/09/2016 This petition was called on for hearing today.  
For Petitioner(s) Ms.Reena Pandey,Adv.  
Mr. Anurag Pandey,Adv.  
For Respondent(s)

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

Ld.counsel for the petitioner has filed an affidavit in proof  
of dasti service stating therein that the guard of the sole  
respondent has refused to accept the dasti notice and notice has  
been affixed at front door. This is not a proper mode of service.  
As per tracking report in respect of the sole respondent, the  
postal remarks shows that â Sdoor lockedâ \235.  
Hence, fresh steps for the service of notice by usual mode to  
the said respondent shall be taken by the Ld.counsel for the  
petitioner within a period of three weeks. Dasti in addition is  
permitted to be served through the concerned Trial Court, the  
particulars of which shall be furnished by the Ld.counsel for the  
petitioner within the period provided above.  
List the matter again on 09.11.2016.

(M V RAMESH)  
Registrar

SB