

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO.3010 OF 2016
(Arising out of SLP(C)No.7877/2016 @ CC 8344/2015)

PUDA (NOW) GREATER LUDHIANA AREA
DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY (GLADA) ... APPELLANT(S)

VS.

MANDEEP SINGH & ANR. ... RESPONDENT(S)

J U D G M E N T

ANIL R. DAVE, J.

1. Delay condoned.
2. Leave granted.
3. Mr. Subhro Sanyal, learned Advocate-on-Record, has appeared on caveat for Respondent No.1.
4. Respondent No.2, being proforma party, stands deleted from the array of parties.
5. It has been submitted by the learned counsel for the appellant that the respondent has already been reinstated.
6. Upon hearing the concerned parties and looking at the peculiar facts of the case, we feel that 40% of back-wages ought not to have been awarded. Therefore, we direct that Rs.1.5 lakhs (Rupees One Lakh Fifty Thousand only) in lump

Signature Not Verified

Digitally signed by ANITA
MALHOTRA

sum shall be paid by the appellant to Respondent No.1. The

said amount shall be paid in lieu of the back-wages.

Date: 2016.03.17 16:46:28
IST

Reason: DSC of Ms. Anita
Malhotra, CM is used by
sarita purohit, CM for signing

1

7. By virtue of the afore-stated modification of the impugned judgment, the appeal is partly allowed. Pending application, if any, stands disposed of. There shall be no

