

ITEM NO.16

COURT NO.1

SECTION XIV

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No. 8437/2019

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 07-03-2019 in WPC No. 2236/2019 passed by the High Court Of Delhi At New Delhi)

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.

Petitioners

VERSUS

INTERNATIONAL CENTRE FOR ALTERNATIVE
DISPUTE RESOLUTION

Respondent

(FOR ADMISSION and I.R. and IA No.54676/2019-EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT)

WITH

SLP(C) No. 13381/2019 (XIV)

(FOR ADMISSION and I.R. and IA No.85828/2019-EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT)

Date : 08-07-2019 This matter was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIRUDDHA BOSE

For Petitioners

SLP(C) 8437/2019

Mr. Tushar Mehta, SG
Mr. Vikramjit Banerjee, ASG
Mr. R. Balasubramanian, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Rajat Nair, Adv.
Mr. Amit Sharma, Adv.
Mr. Pranay Ranjan, Adv.
Mr. Arvind Kumar Sharma, AOR

SLP(C) 13381/2019

Dr. Rajeev Dhavan, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Arvind Datar, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Ajit Sinha, Sr. Adv.
Mr. R.K. Rathore, Adv.
Mr. Ritesh Agrawal, Adv.
Mr. Sunil Kumar Verma, Adv.
Mr. Sohel Rishabh, Adv.
Mr. Teejas Bhatia, Adv.
Mr. Ritesh Agrawal, AOR

For Respondent

SLP(C) 13381/2019

Mr. Tushar Mehta, SG
Mr. Vikramjit Banerjee, ASG
Mr. R. Balasubramanian, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Rajat Nair, Adv.
Mr. Amit Sharma, Adv.
Mr. Pranay Ranjan, Adv.
Mr. Arvind Kumar Sharma, AOR
Mrs. Anil Katiyar, AOR

Mr. Ritesh Agrawal, AOR

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

SLP(C) No. 8437/2019

Learned counsel for the petitioners submit that the present special leave petition has become infructuous. The same is accordingly dismissed as infructuous.

SLP(C) No. 13381/2019

It is pointed out by Dr. Rajeev Dhavan, learned senior counsel that the Chief Justice of India is the Chairman of the International Centre for Alternative Dispute Resolution (ICADR). Even if the said statement is correct, we do not see any hindrance or difficulty for this Court to entertain the present special leave petition in view of the order that the Bench is proposing to pass.

As the order impugned is an interim order, we decline to entertain the present special leave petition, but leave it open for the petitioner to move the High Court seeking appropriate orders. It will also be open for the aggrieved party(ies) to seek an early date of hearing before the High Court. We make it clear that no opinion on merits has been expressed.

The special leave petition is accordingly dismissed.

Pending interlocutory applications, if any, shall stand disposed of.

(Deepak Guglani)
Court Master

(Anand Prakash)
Court Master