

V
ITEM NO.18

COURT NO.4

SECTION XI

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

I.A.No. 2 & 3 in Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil)
No(s).30611/2010

NEW OKHLA INDUSTRIAL DEV. AUTHORITY

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

MADAN & ANR.

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for substitution of deceased respondent and c/delay in
filing substitution application and office report)

Date: 15/10/2012 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE GYAN SUDHA MISRA
(IN CHAMBERS)

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Ravindra Kumar, Adv.(N.P.)

For Respondent(s) Mr. S.K. Gupta, Adv.
Mr. Shekhar Kumar, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Delay in filing the application for substitution is condoned.

This is an application for substitution of LRs of deceased Respondent
No. 1, which is not opposed by any one, as no one is present on behalf of
the petitioner.

However, counsel for deceased Respondent No. 1 submitted that some of
the LRs of deceased Respondent No.1 have already accepted notice for
substitution and they have not opposed to the substitution in any manner.
But some of the LRs of deceased Respondent No. 1 have refused to accept
notice.

Under the circumstance, the application for substitution is allowed
in respect of those LRs who have accepted the notice.

In so far as other LRs of Respondent No. 1 who have refused to accept
notice, this fact be reported to the Court by way of an Office Report as
and when the matter is considered on merits by the Regular Bench.

The application for substitution is allowed subject to the aforesaid
directions.

| (Jayant Kumar Arora)
| Sr. P.A.

| |(Indu Bala Kapur)
| |Court Master