

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

BEFORE THE REGISTRAR M.A. SAYEED

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).35260/2010

COMMR.OF CEN.EXC.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

M/S RAINBOW DYES & INTERMEDIATE

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for c/delay in filing SLP and office report)

WITH

SLP(C) NO.35226/2010

SLP(C) NO.35241/2010

SLP(C) NO.354/2011

SLP(C) NO.938/2011

SLP(C) NO.915/2011

SLP(C) NO.943/2011

SLP(C) NO.1294/2011

SLP(C) NO.1298/2011

SLP(C) NO.2415/2011

SLP(C) NO.2580/2011

SLP(C) NO.2692/2011

SLP(C) NO.5101/2011

SLP(C) NO.6567/2011

SLP(C) NO.12275/2011

SLP(C) NO.12549/2011

SLP(C) NO.22400/2011

SLP(C) NO.33741/2011

SLP(C) NO.23231/2012

SLP(C) NO.30103/2012

Date: 14/08/2013 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

For Petitioner(s)

Mr Arvind Kumar Tiwary, Adv.

Mr. B. Krishna Prasad, Adv.

Mr Arvind Kumar Sharma, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr Vasav Anantharaman, Adv.

Ms Meenakshi Arora, Adv.

Mr Irshad Ahmad, Adv.

Dr Kailash Chand, Adv.

-2-

Item No.7

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

SLP(C) NO.6567/2011

Despite reasonable and sufficient opportunity, the ld. Counsel for the petitioner has failed to carry out the necessary amendment in the cause title in the vakalatnama as directed vide order dt.4.1.2012. Matter be placed before the Hon'ble Judge in Chambers for necessary orders/directions.

SLP(C) NOS. 35226/2010, 915,2580, 5101 of 2011

In these batch of matters, the ld. Counsel for the respondent has failed to file counter affidavit despite final chance granted on the last date.

However, further necessary orders would be passed as and when other connected matters would be come ready.

SLP(C)NO.35241,35260/2010,354,943,1294,1298,12275,12549 22400 of 2011

In these batch of matters, despite reasonable opportunity no steps have been taken against the sole respondent.

Matters be placed before the Hon'ble Judge in Chambers for necessary orders/directions.

SLP(C) NO.33741,938,2415,2692 of 2011,30103/2012

Notice be re issued to the sole respondent through the concerned District Court in addition to postal service.

-3-

Item No.7

SLP(C) NO.23231/2012

Sole respondent is reported to be duly served but none appeared on his behalf.

However, further necessary orders would be passed as and when other connected matters would be come ready.

List again on 1.10.2013.

(M.A.SAYEED)

REGISTRAR

hj