

ITEM NO.4

COURT NO.5

SECTION IX

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No(s).11717/2013

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 04-10-2012 in MCA No. 650/2002 and WP No. 3284/2002 passed by the High Court of Bombay at Nagpur)

STATE OF MAHARASHTRA & ORS.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

CHETAN SURESHRAO BENDE & ANR.

Respondent(s)

WITH

SLP (C) No. 11726/2013 (IX)
SLP (C) No. 11730/2013 (IX)
SLP (C) No. 11727/2013 (IX)
SLP (C) No. 11723/2013 (IX)
SLP (C) No. 11724/2013 (IX)
SLP (C) No. 11722/2013 (IX)
SLP (C) No. 11721/2013 (IX)
SLP (C) No. 10794/2013 (IX)
SLP (C) No. 11729/2013 (IX)
SLP (C) No. 11728/2013 (IX)
SLP (C) No. 11725/2013 (IX)
SLP (C) No. 6722/2016 (IX)
SLP (C) No. 6721/2016 (IX)
SLP (C) No. 6726/2016 (IX)
SLP (C) No. 6719/2016 (IX)
SLP (C) No. 6723/2016 (IX)
SLP (C) No. 6728/2016 (IX)
SLP (C) No. 6725/2016 (IX)
SLP (C) No. 6718/2016 (IX)
SLP (C) No. 6724/2016 (IX)
SLP (C) No. 6717/2016 (IX)
SLP (C) No. 6720/2016 (IX)
SLP (C) No. 6727/2016 (IX)
SLP (C) No. 6730/2016 (IX)
SLP (C) No. 17881/2014 (IX)
SLP (C) No. 22048/2014 (IX)
SLP (C) No. 34090-34091/2013 (IX)
SLP (C) No. 37678/2013 (IX)

Date : 09-08-2017 These petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MADAN B. LOKUR
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Nishant Ramakantrao Katneshwarkar, AOR

Mr. Aniruddha P. Mayee, AOR

For Respondent(s) Mr. Siddhesh Kotwal, Adv.
 Ms. Shreya Bhatnagar, Adv.
 Mr. Raghunatha Sethupathy, Adv.
 Ms. Urvashi, Adv.
 Mr. Nirnimesh Dube, AOR

Mr. A.V. Savant, Sr. Adv.

Mr. Sachin Patil, AOR

Mr. Birendra Kumar Mishra, AOR

Aparajit Ninawe, Adv.

Ms. Madhumita Bhattacharjee, AOR

M/s. Lambat And Associates

Mr. Sudhanshu S. Choudhari, AOR

Ms. Surabhi Guleria, Adv.

Mr. Satyajit Desai, Adv.

Mr. Varun Mathur, Adv.

Mr. Nath Mohan Prafulla, Adv.

Mr. Akash Kakade, Adv.

Ms. Anagha S. Desai, AOR

Mr. Rabin Majumder, AOR

Mr. Gaurav Agrawal, AOR

Dr. Rajeev B. Masodkar, Adv.

Mr. Chander Shekhar Ashri, AOR

Mr. Venkateshwar Rao Anumolu, Adv.

Mr. Prabhakar Parnam, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

List the matters immediately after service is complete.

We expect the petitioner - State of Maharashtra to ensure that the service is complete on the unserved respondents within a short period of time.

Notice may be taken dasti as well.

It is made clear that if appropriate steps are not taken within a reasonable period of time, the special leave petitions will be deemed to have been dismissed against the unserved respondents.

(SANJAY KUMAR-I)
AR-CUM-PS

(MADHU NARULA)
COURT MASTER