

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

CONTEMPT PETITION (C) NOS. 411, 571 & 572 OF 2014, 33, 34, 38, 46, 101, 102, 103, 104, 105, 106, 107, 108, 109, 110, 111, 112, 113, 120, 121, 127, 128, 129, 131, 132, 133, 134, 149, 150, 151, 152, 153, 154, 155, 283, 284, 285, 286, 287, 288, 290, 291, D.Nos. 12967, 21713, 25425, 25424, 25423, 25427, 25426, 25583, 25577, 25581, 23904, 25578, 25579, 25431, 25432, 26077, 26256, 26078 & 25430 OF 2015 AND D.Nos. 8429, 18567, 20025, 23037, 27528, 33441, 33442 & 36110 of 2016

IN

WRIT PETITION (C) NO. 246 OF 2011

WITH

CONTEMPT PETITION (C) NO. 157, 158 AND 174 OF 2015

IN

WRIT PETITION (C) NO. 510 OF 2011

WITH

CONTEMPT PETITION (C) NO. 385, 450 OF 2014 AND 50 OF 2015

IN

WRIT PETITION (C) NO. 264 OF 2012

AVISHEK RAJA & ORS. ETC. ETC.

...PETITIONERS

-VERSUS-

SANJAY GUPTA ETC. ETC.

...RESPONDENTS

OFFICE- REPORT

It is submitted that the matters above-mentioned were listed before the Hon'ble Court on 08.11.2016 with office report dated 07.11.2016, when the Court was pleased to pass, *inter alia*, the following Order:

"For reasons we do not consider necessary at present to record the exercise of monitoring the implementation of the Majithia Wage Board Recommendations on the basis of the reports called for from the Labour Commissioners of different States stand deferred to a later date. Instead, it would be prudent and in fact necessary to decide certain questions of law which now stand formulated and have been submitted to the Court by Shri Colin Gonsalves, learned senior counsel, at the request of the Court.

Copies of the aforesaid legal issues be furnished to all the contesting parties and the same would be heard on 16th November, 2016 as the first item of the cause-list.

Once the legal formulations are considered and decided, further orders with regard to the mechanism to implement the Majithia Wage Board Recommendations will follow.

Liberty is granted to file response to the legal questions formulated, as mentioned above, in the course of the week.

List these matters on 16th November, 2016."

It is submitted that pursuant to the aforesaid order, copy of the legal issues has been furnished to all the contesting parties.

It is further submitted that Mr. Birendra Kumar Mishra, Advocate has on 15.11.2016 after taking permission from the Hon'ble Court filed written submissions on behalf of sole respondent in response to the legal submissions dated 17.10.2016 in C.P.(C).No.411 of 2014 (copy of the same is being circulated herewith).

Service of notice is complete.

The Contempt Petitions above mentioned are listed before Hon'ble Court with this Office Report.

Dated this the 15th day of November, 2016.

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

COPY TO:

Mr. P. George Giri, Adv.
Mr. Parmanand Pandey, Adv.
Mr. Abhinav Mukherji, Adv.
Mr. H. K. Chaturvedi, Adv.
Mr. Nitin Kumar Thakur, Adv.
Mr. Rajan K. Chaurasia, Adv.
Mr. Shekhar Kumar, Adv.
Mr. Praveen Swarup, Adv.
Mr. Satish Kumar, Adv.
Mr. Raj Singh Rana, Adv.
Mr. P.N. Puri, Adv.
Mr. Rakesh Mishra, Adv.
Mr. Milind Kumar, Adv.
M/s. Ajay Choudhary, Adv.
Mr. A. Raghunath, Adv.
Mr. Devashish Bharukha, Adv.
Ms. Anuradha Mutatkar, Adv.
Ms. Pragya Baghel, Adv.
Mr. Gautam Awasthi, Adv.
Mr. V.D. Khanna, Adv.
Mr. Birendra Kumar Mishra, Adv.
Mr. Ranjan Mukherjee, Adv.
Ms. Hemantika Wahi, Adv.
Mr. K. Enatoli Sema, Adv.
Mr. D.S. Mahra, Adv.
Mr. C.D. Singh, Adv.
Mr. V.G. Pragasam, Adv.
M/s. Arputham Aruna & Co., Adv.
Mr. Nitin Kumar Thakur, Adv.
Mr. Samir Alir Khan, Adv.
Mr. Chirag M. Shroff, Adv.
Ms. Rachana Srivastava, Advocate

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR