

ITEM NO.32

COURT NO.3

SECTION IX

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No(s).12907-12910/2016

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 08/03/2016
in AO No.495/2015, AO No.496/2015, AO No.1210/2015 and AO No.
487/2015 passed by the High Court of Bombay)

SHREE VARDHAMAN STANAKVASI JAIN SHRAVAK SANGH DADAR

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

M/S. ASHWATHA DEVELOPERS AND ORS. ETC. ETC.

Respondent(s)

(With appln.(s) for exemption from filing c/c of the impugned
judgment, permission to file lengthy list of dates and interim
relief and office report)

Date : 12/05/2016 These petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGDISH SINGH KHEHAR
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE C. NAGAPPAN

For Petitioner(s)

Mr.Gopal Subramanium, Sr.Adv.
Mr.K.V.Vishwanathan, Sr.Adv.
Mr.Nidesh Gupta, Sr.Adv.
Mr.Gaurav Khanna, Adv.
Mr.Aman Vachher, Adv.
Mrs.Madhurima Mridul, Adv.
Mr.Mukesh Chheda, Adv.
Mr.Yakshat Chheda, Av.
Mr.Dhiraj, Adv.
Mr.Ashutosh Dubey, Adv.
Mr.Abhishek Chauhan, Adv.
Mr.Harsh Sharma, Adv.
Mr. P. N. Puri, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr.Shekhar Naphade, Sr.Adv.
Ms. Shubhangi Tuli, Adv.
Mr.Satyam Vohra, Adv.

Mr.Atul Yeshwant Chitale, Sr.Adv.

Signature Not Verified

Mrs.Suchitra A.Chitale, Adv.
Ms.Shivangi Khanna, Adv.

Digitally signed by

SATISH KUMAR YADAV

Date: 2016.05.13

17:23:09 TLT

Reason:

Mr.Shyam Divan, Sr.Adv.
Mr.Praveen Samdani, Sr.Adv.
Mr.Anirudh Joshi, Adv.
Mr.Satyen Vora, Adv.

SLP(C)Nos.12907-12910/2016

2

Mr.Mahesh Agarwal, Adv.
Mr.Atul, Adv.
Mr.Abhinav Agrawal, Adv.
Mr. E. C. Agrawala, Adv.

Mr.Rishabh Parikh, Adv.

Upon hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Having heard learned counsel for the rival parties, we find no justification whatsoever in interfering with the impugned order in exercise of our jurisdiction under Article 136 of the Constitution of India. The special leave petitions are accordingly dismissed.

As a sequel to the above, pending interlocutory applications also stand disposed of.

While adjudicating upon the suit, the trial court shall not be influenced by any of the observations made by the High Court in the impugned order. Needless to mention, that both the parties shall cooperate for the expeditious disposal of the suit, and the trial court shall endeavour to dispose of the same as expeditiously as possible.

(SATISH KUMAR YADAV)
AR-CUM-PS

(RENUKA SADANA)
COURT MASTER