

ITEM NO.3

COURT NO.6

SECTION XI

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No(s). 27765/2010

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 09-10-2009 in RP No. 543/1989 09-10-2009 in RP No. 543/1989 passed by the High Court Of Judicature At Allahabad)

SYED SUGARA ZAIDI

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

LAEEQ AHMAD (DEAD) THR. LRS. & ORS.

Respondent(s)

Date : 19-07-2017 These matters were called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KURIAN JOSEPH
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE R. BANUMATHI

For Petitioner(s) Mr. V. Shekhar, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Manoj Saxena, Adv.
Mr. Ram Krishna, Adv.
Dr. Kailash Chand, AOR

For Respondent(s) Mr. S.K. Sinha, AOR
Mr. Rattan Lal, Adv.
Ms. Seema Kashyap, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Mr. V. Shekhar, learned senior counsel appearing for the petitioner, has made the following submission on proper instruction: -

The lease, which was originally for a period of 10 years, expired as far back as in the year 1978 and, therefore, there has been no renewal, nor is there any payment on the basis of the enhancement, as contemplated under the original lease deed. In that background, it is submitted that the landlord has three offers to make :-

(i) The respondent may buy out the property at the prevailing market rate;

ii) They may vacate the premises within a reasonable period.

iii) The above terms could work out only on condition that the respondents clear the entire arrears of rent.

The learned counsel for Respondent No. 3, who is in occupation of the premises, seeks some time to get instruction.

Post on 10.08.2017.

We make it clear that there will be no further adjournment on any ground.

Needless to say that these submissions are made without prejudice to the contentions available to the petitioner.

(JAYANT KUMAR ARORA)
COURT MASTER

(RENU DIWAN)
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR