

ITEM NO.2

COURT NO.6

SECTION XI

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No(s). 27765/2010

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 09-10-2009 in RP No. 543/1989 09-10-2009 in RP No. 543/1989 passed by the High Court Of Judicature At Allahabad)

SYED SUGARA ZAIDI

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

LAEEQ AHMAD (DEAD) THR. LRS. & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(FOR ON IA 8/2015- Application for impleadment and IA No.70547/2017-APPLICATION FOR SUBSTITUTION and IA No.70549/2017-CONDONATION OF DELAY IN FILING SUBSTITUTION APPLN. and IA No.70550/2017-EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.)

Date : 10-08-2017 These matters were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KURIAN JOSEPH
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE R. BANUMATHI

For Petitioner(s) Mr. V. Shekhar, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Manoj Saxena, Adv.
Mr. Ram Krishna, Adv.
Dr. Kailash Chand, AOR

For Respondent(s) Mr. Shakeel Ahmed, AOR
Ms. Sadiya Shakeel, Adv.

Mr. Jitendra Mohan Sharma, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Ajit Sharma, AOR
Mr. Sandeep Singh, Adv.

Mr. S.K. Sinha, AOR

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Mr. Shakeel Ahmed, learned counsel for the respondents, on instruction, submits that respondent no.3 is interested to outrightly purchase the tenanted premises. It is also submitted that the rent could not be paid from the year 1992 on

account of inter se dispute among landlords.

If that be so, the respondent no.3 will deposit the arrears of rent from 1992 till today before this Court within a period of six weeks from today.

Learned counsel for the respondent No.3, who is in occupation of the premises, will also make his offer to learned counsel for the petitioner regarding the purchase price at which the respondent intends to purchase the said premises.

We request both counsel to explore possibility of arriving at a price which is mutually acceptable.

We make it clear that if the arrears of rent, as directed above, is not paid within six weeks from today, the second suggestion, i.e. "(ii) They may vacate the premises within a reasonable period", made before this Court and which was recorded in our order dated 19th July, 2017, will be worked out.

Application for impleadment is allowed. Abatement is set aside. Delay in filing the application for substitution is condoned. Substitution is allowed.

Cause title be amended accordingly.

Post on Wednesday, the 4th October, 2017.

(MAHABIR SINGH)
COURT MASTER

(RENU DIWAN)
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

ITEM NO.2

COURT NO.6

SECTION XI

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No(s). 27765/2010

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 09-10-2009 in RP No. 543/1989 09-10-2009 in RP No. 543/1989 passed by the High Court Of Judicature At Allahabad)

SYED SUGARA ZAIDI

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

LAEEQ AHMAD (DEAD) THR. LRS. & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(FOR ON IA 8/2015 and IA No.70547/2017-APPLICATION FOR SUBSTITUTION and IA No.70549/2017-CONDONATION OF DELAY IN FILING SUBSTITUTION APPLN. and IA No.70550/2017-EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.)

Date : 10-08-2017 These matters were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KURIAN JOSEPH

HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE R. BANUMATHI

For Petitioner(s) Mr. V. Shekhar, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Manoj Saxena, Adv.
Mr. Ram Krishna, Adv.
Dr. Kailash Chand, AOR

For Respondent(s) Mr. Shakeel Ahmed, AOR
Ms. Sadiya Shakeel, Adv.

Mr. Jitendra Mohan Sharma, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Ajit Sharma, AOR
Mr. Sandeep Singh, Adv.

Mr. S.K. Sinha, AOR

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Mr. Shakeel Ahmed, learned counsel for the respondents, on instruction, submits that respondent no.3 is interested to outrightly purchase the tenanted premises. It is also

submitted that the rent could not be paid from the year 1992 on account of inter se dispute among landlords.

If that be so, the respondent no.3 will deposit the arrears of rent from 1992 till today before this Court within a period of six weeks from today.

Learned counsel for the respondent No.3, who is in occupation of the premises, will also make his offer to learned counsel for the petitioner regarding the purchase price at which the respondent intends to purchase the said premises.

We request both counsel to explore possibility of arriving at a price which is mutually acceptable.

We make it clear that if the arrears of rent, as directed above, is not paid within six weeks from today, the second suggestion, i.e. "(ii) They may vacate the premises within a reasonable period", made before this Court and which was recorded in our order dated 19th July, 2017, will be worked out.

Abatement is set aside. Delay in filing the application for substitution is condoned. Substitution is allowed.

Cause title be amended accordingly.

Post on Wednesday, the 4th October, 2017.

(MAHABIR SINGH)
COURT MASTER

(RENU DIWAN)
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR