

ITEM NO.18

COURT NO.3

SECTION II-A

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl.) No(s). 2687/2020

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 02-03-2020 in CRLWP No. 1252/2019 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay at Aurangabad)

MAHDAV JANARDHAN BAPAT & ORS.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(IA No. 73200/2020 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING AFFIDAVIT
IA No. 72771/2020 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING AFFIDAVIT
IA No. 37284/2021 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.
IA No. 67093/2020 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.
IA No. 67092/2020 - PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL
DOCUMENTS/FACTS/ANNEXURES)

Date : 12-09-2023 This matter was called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV KHANNA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.V.N. BHATTI

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Sandeep Sudhakar Deshmukh, AOR
Mr. Nishant Sharma, Adv.
Mr. Akshay Subhash Jagtap, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Shashibhushan P. Adgaonkar, Adv.
Mr. Siddharath Dharmadhikari, Adv.
Mr. Aaditya Aniruddha Pande, AOR
Mr. Bharat Bagla, Adv.
Mr. Sourav Singh, Adv.
Mr. Aditya Krishna, Adv.

Mr. Sachin Patil, Adv.
Mr. Kailas Bajirao Autade, AOR
Mr. Mukul Wagh, Adv.

Mr. Atul Babasaheb Dakh, AOR
Mr. Bitu Kumar Singh, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Having heard learned counsel for the parties, we are not

inclined to interfere with the impugned judgment/order. However, we would like to clarify that the impugned judgment/order should not be treated as an expression of opinion on the merits of the allegations made or acceptance of allegations against a particular person.

The police will conduct the investigation in accordance with law.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the inquiry reports have been submitted under Section 88 of Maharashtra Cooperative Societies Act, 1960. The petitioner may submit copies of the inquiry reports to the investigation officer.

Recording the aforesaid, the special leave petition is dismissed.

We also clarify that the impugned judgment/order and the present order do not express any opinion on whether or not any person is entitled to anticipatory bail.

Pending application(s), if any, stand disposed of.

(NARENDRA PRASAD)
ASTT. REGISTRAR-cum-PS

(R.S. NARAYANAN)
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR