

ITEM NO.301

COURT NO.12

SECTION IX

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G SI.A. 16/2015 in Civil Appeal No.10759/2013

STATE OF MAHARASHTRA & ORS.

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

ASHA RAMDAS BIDKAR & ORS.
(for directions)

Respondent(s)

Date : 01/09/2015 This application was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAMAJIT SEN
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ABHAY MANOHAR SAPREFor Appellant(s) Mr. Kunal A. Cheema, Adv.
Mr. Nishant Ramakantrao Katneshwarkar, Adv.For Respondent(s) Mr.V. Giri, Sr.Adv.
Applicant Mr. Amol Nirmalkumar Suryawanshi, Adv.
Mr. Chander Shekhar Ashri, Adv.
Mr. Nishant Ramakantrao Katneshwarkar, Adv.
Mr. Sachin Patil, Adv.
Mrs Sarla Chandra, Adv.
Mr. Sudhanshu S. Choudhari, Adv.
Mr. Manoj R. Sinha, Adv.
Mr. T. Mahipal, Adv.
Mr. Uday B. Dube, Adv.
Ms. Anagha S. Desai, Adv.UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Issue notice.

We have perused the Orders passed by this Court from time
to time. When the Appeal came up for consideration, on 18.11.2013,

it had been clarified that "those teachers who have not passed the SET Examination but who have completed six years of service as on that date should be entitled to the benefits of Career Advancement Scheme only for the purposes of pay-scale." In the Order dated 25.03.2015 we had again clarified, while staying operation of the Impugned Order, that it should not cause any disadvantage to any of the parties who are the beneficiaries to the Impugned Judgment. Prima facie, the Respondents have disregarded the directions, which may border on Contempt of Court.

As prayed, Reply be filed within four weeks. Rejoinder, if any, be filed within four weeks thereafter.

The pendency of this Application should not deter the Appellants from taking requisite action.

(USHA BHARDWAJ)
AR-CUM-PS

(SAROJ SAINI)
COURT MASTER