

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

SPECIAL LEAVE PETITION (CIVIL)..... Diary No(s).10189/2025

[Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 20-11-2024 in WA No.619/2023 (L-RES) passed by the High Court of Karnataka at Bengaluru]

MENAKA

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

THE STATE OF KARNATAKA &amp; ORS.

Respondent(s)

(IA No.92019/2025 - CONDONATION OF DELAY IN REFILING/CURING THE DEFECTS, IA No. 92016/2025 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.)

Date : 17-04-2025 This matter was called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURYA KANT  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NONGMEIKAPAM KOTISWAR SINGH

For Petitioner(s) :Mr. Om Prakash, Adv.  
Mr. Chandresh Pratap, Adv.  
Ms. Swati Mishra, Adv.  
Mr. Nitish Pande, Adv.  
Mr. Sarthak Udaipuria, Adv.  
Mr. Amish Tiwari, Adv.  
Ms. Sudeepti Singh, Adv.  
Mr. Mukul Gupta, Adv.  
Mr. Kaushal Mishra, Adv.  
Mr. Abhaya Nath Das, Adv.  
Ms. Anjana Sharma, Adv.  
Mr. Satish Kumar, AOR

For Respondent(s) :

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

1. Delay condoned.
2. We have heard learned counsel for the petitioner at a considerable length.
3. In view of the fact that the petitioner's land has already been utilized for the public purpose for which it was acquired,

namely, the construction of Commercial Tax Check-Posts, it is difficult to accept the petitioner's prayer for de-notifying the acquired land under Section 48 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 (since repealed).

4. Consequently, the Special Leave Petition, to this extent, is dismissed.

5. However, if the petitioner could not file appeal before the High Court for enhancement of compensation on account of pendency of the subject-proceedings, she may file the appeal along with an application for condonation of delay. The High Court may consider such prayer sympathetically and in accordance with law.

6. All pending applications, if any, also stand disposed of.

(ARJUN BISHT)  
ASTT. REGISTRAR-cum-PS

(PREETHI T.C.)  
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR