

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

BEFORE THE REGISTRAR M K HANJURA

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl.) No(s). 1106/2013

SHAILENDRA SINGH & ORS.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

SUKHBIR SINGH & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(with appln. (s) for permission to place addl. documents on record
and stay and office report)

WITH

SLP(Crl) No. 3300/2013

(With appln.(s) for stay and Office Report)

SLP(Crl) No. 5305/2013

(With appln.(s) for stay and exemption from filing o.t. and Office
Report)

SLP(Crl) No. 5597/2013

(With appln.(s) for exemption from filing c/c of the impugned order
and stay and exemption from filing o.t. and Office Report)

Date : 31/07/2014 This petition was called on for hearing today.

For Petitioner(s)

Mr.Anil Sharma,adv.

Mr. Ashok Mathur ,Adv.

Mr. Arjun Garg ,Adv.

Dr. (mrs.) Vipin Gupta ,Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Dr. (mrs.) Vipin Gupta ,Adv.

Mr.Raman Yadav,adv.

Mr. Abhishth Kumar ,Adv.

Mr. Arjun Garg ,Adv.

Mr.Sikandar Azam Khan,adv

Mr. Praveen Swarup ,Adv.

Mr.Anil Sharma,adv.

Mr.Ashok Mathur,adv.

Signature Not Verified

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following

Digitally signed by

Sushma Kumari Bajaj

Date: 2014.08.04

10:55:44 IST

Reason:

O R D E R

.....2

ITEM NO.19

-2-

SLP(Cr)No.1106/2013

What gets revealed from the perusal of the office report is that the respondent Nos.1 & 2 have already filed the counter affidavit. The other respondents have not filed the counter affidavit despite opportunity. Viewed in that context, the matter shall be processed for listing before the Hon'ble Court as and when the connected ones get ready.

SLP(Cr)No.3300/2013

Ld.counsel for the respondent Nos.3-5 has stated that the said respondents do not need to file the counter affidavit. This is taken on record. The respondent No.1 has stated that he has already filed the counter affidavit. The respondent No.2 shall be at liberty to file the counter affidavit within a period of six weeks in case he intends to do so.

The office report indicates that the respondent Nos. 6 & 7 are not the petitioners in SLP(Cr)No.1106/13. However, the Ld. Counsel for the respondent Nos.3-5 has stated that the office report does not reflect the correct position. Office shall furnish the detailed position on the next date.

SLP(Cr)Nos.5305 & 5597/2013

Ld.counsel for the respondent Nos. 1 & 2 states that the said respondents have already filed the counter affidavit. Office shall verify the position and report accordingly. Ld.counsel for the respondent Nos. 1 & 2 shall provide the copy of the counter affidavit, if filed, to the Ld.counsel for the petitioner and the Ld.counsel for the respondent Nos. 3-5.

The office report indicates that the respondent Nos. 6 & 7 are the respondents in SLP(Cr)No.1106/13. However, the Ld.counsel for the respondent Nos.3-5 has stated that the office report does not reflect the correct position. Office shall furnish the detailed position on the next date.

List again on 10.10.2014.

(M K HANJURA)
Registrar

SB