

ITEM NO.301

COURT NO.3

SECTION XIA

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No(s). 11295/2011

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 31/01/2011
in WPC No. 36487/2009 passed by the High Court Of Kerala At
Ernakulam)

SRI MARTHANDA VARMA (D) TH. LR. & ANR. Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF KERALA & ORS. Respondent(s)

(With appln.(s) for Directions and
and exemption from filing O.T. and
and intervention and permission to
and recalling the courts order and
(For Final Disposal)

Discharge of Advocate-on-record
impleadment as party respondent
place Addl. Documents on record
office report)

WITH

W.P.(C) No. 518/2011
(With Office Report)

SLP(C) No. 12361/2011

(With appln.(s) for directions and discharge of advocate-on-record
and Interim Relief and Office Report)

Date: 06/08/2014 These matters were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE T.S. THAKUR
HON'BLE MR. ANIL R. DAVE

Mr. Gopal Subramaniam, Sr.adv.(A.C.) (N.P.)

For Petitioner(s) (SLP 11295/11) & SLP 12361/11 I.A.No.27)	Mr. K. K. Venugopal, Sr.Adv. Ms. Ashwati Balraj, Adv. Mr. B.V. Deepak, Adv. For M/s. T. T. K. Deepak & Co.
---	---

(WP 518/2011)	Mr. Nishe Rajen Shonker, Adv.
---------------	-------------------------------

For Respondent(s)

Signature Not Verified

Mr. R.S. Suri, Sr.Adv.	(U.O.I.)
------------------------	----------

Digitally signed by
Mahabir Singh

Date: 2014.08.08

Mr. Ashok K. Srivastava, Adv.

16:03:05 IST

Reason:

Mr. Abhinav Mukherjee, Adv.
Mr. S.N. Terdal, Adv.
Mr. D. S. Mahra, Adv.

2

Mr. K. V. Vishwanathan, Sr.Adv. (St.of Kerala)
Mr. Gautham Bharadwaj, Adv.
Ms. Liz Mathew, Adv.
Mr. M.F. Philip, Adv.

Mr. P. B. Suresh, Adv.
Mr. Vipin Nair, Adv.

Mr. T.K. Anandapadmanabhan, Adv.
Mr. Udayaditya Banerjee, Adv.
For M/s. Temple Law Firm

Mr. T.K. Annanda Padmanabhan, Adv.
Ms. Vaishali Dixit, Adv.
Mr. Mayan K., Adv.
Ms. Srithi Govil, Adv.
Mr. Balaji Srinivasan, Adv.

Mr. M. P. Vinod, Adv.
Mr. Dileep Pillai, Adv.
Mr. Ajay K. Jain, Adv.
Mr. Atul Shankar Vinod, Adv.

Mr. A. Raghunath, Adv.

Mr. C. K. Sasi, Adv.

Mr. R. Sathish, Adv.

Mr. Sajith. P, Adv.

Mr. Sanand Ramakrishnan, Adv.

Mr. V. Ramasubramanian, Adv.

For Applicant(s) Mr. Harish N. Salve, Sr. Adv.
Mr. K.R. Sasiprabhu, Adv.
Mr. M.K. S. Menon, Adv.
Mr. Shashank Menon, Adv.
Mr. Rajat Nair, Adv.

(IA 22 & 23) Mr. K.K. Mani, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Ravi P. Mehrotra, Adv.
Mr. Vibhu Tiwari, Adv.

(IA No.25 & 26) Mr. Ranbir Yadav, Adv.
Mr. B. Rajesh, Adv.

(IA 6) Ms. Usha Nandini V., Adv.
Mr. Biju P. Raman, Adv.

3

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Heard.

Report IX-A dated 16th June, 2014 has been received from the office of the Expert Committee. The Registry shall furnish a copy of the said report to counsel for the parties who may respond to the same within four weeks.

Mr. Vinod Rai, Special Audit Authority appointed by this Court has also submitted a report. So also an Interim Report has been received from the Chairperson, Administrative Committee. Copies of these reports shall be furnished to counsel for the parties to enable them to respond to the same within four weeks from today.

Counsel for the parties shall also respond to the report received from Mr. Gopal Subramaniam, learned amicus curiae, within four weeks from today.

A letter dated 04th August, 2014 has been received from Mr. Subramaniam seeking discharge from his assignment. Having regard to the facts that Mr. Subramaniam has spent considerable time and energy in this matter and keeping in view the nature of the issues that fall for our determination, we request Mr. Subramaniam to reconsider his decision and to continue assisting as amicus. The Registry is directed to send an appropriate communication in this regard to Mr. Subramaniam.

I.A.No.24 in SLP(C) No.11295 of 2011 &
I.A.No.3 in SLP(C) No.12361 of 2011:

Heard.

Issue notice in these interlocutory applications seeking
4

discharge filed by M/s. T.T.K. Deepak & Co.

Counsel for the parties may file their objections, if any, to these interlocutory applications also.

I.A.No.25 & 26 in SLP(C) No.11295 of 2011:

Heard.

Issue notice

Objection, if any, be filed by learned counsel for the parties to these applications for impleadment filed on behalf of R. Ajay Kumar by Mr. Ranbir Yadav, Advocate.

I.A.No.22 & 23

Objection, if any, to these applications for intervention and directions filed on behalf of Rajesh Nair by Mr. Ravi Prakash Mehrotra, Advocate, be also filed by counsel for the parties.

Mr. K.K. Venugopal, learned senior counsel appearing for the petitioner in SLP(C) Nos.11295 and 12361 of 2011, has drawn our attention to I.A.No.27 filed on behalf of Sri Moolam Thirunna Rama Varma, Trustee, seeking permission to inspect the record, referred to in the schedule to I.A.No.27. We permit inspection of

the relevant record, mentioned in the schedule, available with the Administrative Committee or the Executive Officer as the case may be under the supervision of an official, nominated by the Chairperson of the Administrative Committee.

Mr. Venugopal submits, on instructions, that the Executive Officer and the Administrative Officer who has already proceeded on leave pursuant to a statement made by him on 24 th April, 2014 before this Court, shall continue to be on leave for a further

5

period of four months from today. That statement is recorded and accepted. The interim directions issued by this Court on 24 th April, 2014 shall consequently continue pending further orders from this Court.

Post on Tuesday, the 11th November, 2014.

(MAHABIR SINGH)
COURT MASTER

(VEENA KHERA)
COURT MASTER