

**IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

CIVIL APPEAL NO.13771 OF 2015

COMMISSIONER OF INCOME TAX

VERSUS

APPELLANT(S)

**M/S JINDAL STEEL & POWER LIMITED
THROUGH ITS MANAGING DIRECTOR**

WITH

RESPONDENT(S)

CIVIL APPEAL NO.13773 OF 2015

CIVIL APPEAL NO.5524 OF 2017

CIVIL APPEAL NO.7425 OF 2019

CIVIL APPEAL NO. OF 2023

(ARISING FROM SLP (CIVIL) NO.15564 OF 2020)

CIVIL APPEAL NO.13775 OF 2015

CIVIL APPEAL NO.13774 OF 2015

CIVIL APPEAL NO.9920 OF 2016

CIVIL APPEAL NO.6986 OF 2016

CIVIL APPEAL NOS.9781-9782 OF 2017

CIVIL APPEAL NO.9917 OF 2017

CIVIL APPEAL NO.941 OF 2020

CIVIL APPEAL NO. OF 2023

(ARISING OUT OF SLP (CIVIL) NO.5871 OF 2020)

CIVIL APPEAL NO. OF 2023

(ARISING OUT OF SLP (CIVIL) NO.792 OF 2021)

CIVIL APPEAL NO.8983 OF 2017

CIVIL APPEAL NO.1805 OF 2020

O R D E R

CIVIL APPEAL NO.9920 OF 2016:

Learned senior counsel Mr. Arvind P. Datar, appearing for the

appellant(s) mentioned that in the judgment pronounced on 06.12.2023 passed in Civil Appeal No.13771 Of 2015 Etc., C.A. No.9920/2016 ought to have been allowed, being filed by the assessee(s). Accordingly, paragraph '56' of the said judgment may be read as under:

"56. For the aforesaid reasons, all the Civil Appeals barring C.A. No.9920/2016 are hereby dismissed. Since C.A.No.9920/2016 is filed by the assessee(s), the same is allowed. However, there shall be no order as to cost."

The Registry is directed to do the needful.

.....J.
[B. V. NAGARATHNA]

.....J.
[UJJAL BHUYAN]

NEW DELHI;
07.12.2023

ITEM NO.802
(MENTIONING)

COURT NO.13

SECTION IV

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

CIVIL APPEAL NO(S).13771/2015

COMMISSIONER OF INCOME TAX

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

M/S JINDAL STEEL THROUGH ITS MANAGING DIRECTOR

Respondent(s)

[HEARD BY : HON'BLE B.V. NAGARATHNA AND HON'BLE UJJAL BHUYAN, JJ.]

WITH

C.A.NO.13773 OF 2015

C.A.NO.5524 OF 2017

C.A.NO.7425 OF 2019

SLP (CIVIL) NO.15564 OF 2020)

C.A.NO.13775 OF 2015

C.A.NO.13774 OF 2015

C.A.NO.9920 OF 2016

C.A.NO.6986 OF 2016

C.A.NO.9781-9782 OF 2017

C.A.NO.9917 OF 2017

C.A.NO.941 OF 2020

SLP (CIVIL) NO.5871 OF 2020)

SLP (CIVIL) NO.792 OF 2021)

C.A.NO.8983 OF 2017

C.A.NO.1805 OF 2020

Date : 07-12-2023 This appeal was mentioned today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE B.V. NAGARATHNA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE UJJAL BHUYAN

For Party (s) Mr. Arvind P.Datar, Sr. Adv.
 Ms. Kavita Jha, AOR
 Mr. Anant Mann, Adv.
 Mr. Raj Bahadur Yadav, AOR

UPON being mentioned, the Court made the following
O R D E R

C.A. No. 9920/2016:

Learned senior counsel Mr. Arvind P. Datar, appearing for the appellant(s) mentioned that in the judgment pronounced on 06.12.2023 passed in Civil Appeal No.13771 Of 2015 Etc., C.A. No.9920/2016 ought to have been allowed, being filed by the assessee(s). Accordingly, paragraph '56' of the said judgment may be read as under:

"56. For the aforesaid reasons, all the Civil Appeals barring C.A. No.9920/2016 are hereby dismissed. Since C.A.No.9920/2016 is filed by the assessee(s), the same is allowed. However, there shall be no order as to cost."

The Registry is directed to do the needful.

(RADHA SHARMA)
COURT MASTER (SH)

(MALEKAR NAGARAJ)
COURT MASTER (NSH)

(Signed Reportable Order is placed on the file)