

ITEM NO.42

COURT NO.2

SECTION IVA

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).10479/2010

(From the judgement and order dated 20/03/2010 in
of The HIGH COURT OF M.P AT JABALPUR)

WP No. 8537/2009

STATE OF M.P.& ORS.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

KARNATAKA ANTIBIOTICS & PHARMACEU.LD.&AN

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for exemption from filing O.T.,directions and office
report))

Date: 02/05/2011 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ALTAMAS KABIR
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE CYRIAC JOSEPH

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. B.S. Banthia, Adv.
Mr. Vikran Singh Bais, Adv.

Ms. Vibha Datta Makhija, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. B.K.Satija, Adv.

Mr. Vivek Tankha, ASG.
Mr. B.K. Satija, Adv.
Mr. A.Ramesh, Adv.
Mr. A.Deb Kumar, Adv.
UOI Mr. Shreekant N. Terdal ,Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

I.A.2 of 2011, has been filed on
2

behalf of the respondent No.1 for appropriate
directions having regard to certain circumstances
which have intervened.

2. As will appear from the said
application, the High Court had directed the State
of Madhya Pradesh to pay Rs.10 Crores to the
respondent No.1 and also to constitute a Committee

to sort out the disputes between the petitioner and the respondents in the writ petition.

3. In the interlocutory application, it has been stated in paragraph 5 that payment of Rs.10 Crores had been made to the respondent No.1 on 30th May, 2010 and the same had been duly received. In paragraph 7 of the application, it

has been indicated that a Committee has also been constituted and the first meeting of the Committee had taken place on 20th June, 2010.

Having regard

to the above, a prayer has been made in the application for a direction upon the High Court to dispose of the writ petition No.8537 of 2009 expeditiously.

4. In this regard, we may indicate that

on 6th April, 2010, while issuing notice, we had indicated that if any payment is made pursuant to the order of the High Court, the same would be

subject to the result of the Special Leave

3

Petition.

5. Since now the parties are agreed that

having regard to the fact that payments have already been made it will serve no purpose in keeping the Special Leave Petition pending.

Accordingly, the Special Leave Petition is disposed of by recording the aforesaid facts. The

High Court is requested to hear out and dispose of the writ petition as expeditiously as possible, but positively within a period of three months from the date of communication of this order.

6. The Special Leave Petition is disposed of accordingly.

7. There will be no orders as to costs.

(Sheetal Dhingra)
Court Master

(Juginder Kaur)
Court Master