

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO(S).297 OF 2016

JITENDER KUMAR

APPELLANT(S)

VERSUS

THE STATE OF HARYANA

RESPONDENT(S)

O R D E R

We have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

We are unable to discern adequate credible evidence in support of conviction of the appellant. He is said to have been in custody for 17 years.

Having regard the material on record, we are of the view that the appellant is entitled to benefit of doubt. Accordingly, his conviction and sentence are set aside and he is acquitted. He is said to be in custody. He may be released forthwith unless required in any other case.

The appeal is allowed in above terms.

.....J.
[ADARSH KUMAR GOEL]

.....J.
[UDAY UMESH LALIT]

NEW DELHI
23rd November, 2017

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Criminal Appeal No(s). 297/2016

JITENDER KUMAR

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

THE STATE OF HARYANA

Respondent(s)

Date : 23-11-2017 This appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ADARSH KUMAR GOEL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE UDAY UMESH LALIT

For Appellant(s) Ms. Richa Kapoor, AOR
Ms. Mata Prasad Singh, Adv.
Ms. Ranjana Rastogi Singh, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Samar Vijay Singh, Adv.
Mr. Sanjay Kumar Visen, AOR

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

The appeal is allowed in terms of the signed order.
Pending applications, if any, shall also stand disposed
of.

(SWETA DHYANI)
SENIOR PERSONAL ASSISTANT

(PARVEEN KUMARI PASRICHA)
BRANCH OFFICER

(Signed order is placed on the file)