

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No. 8147/2020

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 02-12-2019 in CONT. No. 348/2019 passed by the High Court of Chhatisgarh at Bilaspur)

VED PRAKASH PANDEY

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

RITESH KUMAR AGRAWAL & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(IA No. 114389/2020 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T., IA No. 59958/2020 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T., IA No. 114393/2020 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T. AND IA No. 114390/2020 - PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS/FACTS/ANNEXURES)

Date : 07-02-2022 These matters were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE UDAY UMESH LALIT
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S. RAVINDRA BHAT
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PAMIDIGHANTAM SRI NARASIMHA

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Kaustubh Shukla, AOR

For Respondent(s) Mr. Sumeer Sodhi, AOR
Mr. Gaurav, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Heard Mr. Kaustubh Shukla, learned advocate in support of the petitioner and Mr. Sumeer Sodhi, learned advocate for the respondents.

The High Court rejected the application seeking initiation of action in contempt jurisdiction with following observations:

" Order of learned Single Judge specifically mentions that the petitioner should be allowed to join on the post and the payment of salary has been taken into consideration from 06.01.2014 but it has been left subject to decision of the competent authority, on representation to be filed by the petitioner. The

...2/-

competent authority after receiving the representation, decided the same and calculated part of salary to which petitioner was entitled. It was calculated from the date of order passed by the Commissioner i.e. January 2014 till 31st March, 2015 the date on which scheme came to an end. It was not disputed by the petitioner that the BRGF Programme came to an end in March, 2015. But his claim is that he should have been engaged in some other service. The submission made by the petitioner is not sustainable because the petitioner has been engaged on contractual basis for a particular period and on a particular post/work under a specific scheme. Therefore, as soon as the scheme comes to an end, petitioner's services will automatically come to an end and he cannot have any right to claim employment on any other post or for extension of the period."

With the assistance of the learned counsel, we have gone through the record. We do not find any reason to take a different view in the matter. This Special Leave Petition is, therefore, dismissed.

Pending application(s) shall stand disposed of.

(RAJNI MUKHI)
COURT MASTER (SH)

(VIRENDER SINGH)
BRANCH OFFICER