

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA  
INHERENT JURISDICTION

REVIEW PETITION (CIVIL) .....D.No.10155/2022  
IN  
CIVIL APPEAL No.8555 OF 2016

DELHI DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY

... PETITIONER

Versus

SURJAN SINGH & ORS.

... RESPONDENTS

O R D E R

1. In this petition, prayer has been made for review of judgment dated 31.08.2016 passed by this Court in C.A.No. 8555 of 2016.
2. The petitioner has also filed an application for condonation of 1293 days' delay in filing the Review Petition. We have perused the averments contained in the application for condonation of delay and are convinced that the explanation given by the petitioner is wholly unsatisfactory. Therefore, the application for condonation of delay is dismissed. As a sequel to this, the Review Petition is liable to be dismissed as barred by time.
3. Even otherwise, after having gone through the Review Petition and the record of the Civil Appeal, we do not find any ground to review judgment dated 31.08.2016. The Review Petition is, accordingly, dismissed as barred by time and also on merits.

.....CJI.  
(N.V. RAMANA)

.....J.  
(Dr DHANANJAYA Y CHANDRACHUD)

New Delhi;  
JULY 19, 2022.

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

REVIEW PETITION (CIVIL) .....Diary No(s).10155/2022 In  
C.A.No.8555/2016

DELHI DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

SURJAN SINGH & ORS.

Respondent(s)

IA No. 49412/2022 - CONDONATION OF DELAY IN FILING REVIEW PETITION  
IA No. 49411/2022 - PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL  
DOCUMENTS/FACTS/ANNEXURE)

Date : 19-07-2022 These matters were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE  
HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE D.Y. CHANDRACHUD

By Circulation

UPON perusing papers the Court made the following  
O R D E R

The Review Petition is dismissed as barred by time and  
also on merits in terms of the signed order.

(SATISH KUMAR YADAV)  
DEPUTY REGISTRAR

(R.S. NARAYANAN)  
COURT MASTER (NSH)

(Signed order is placed on the file)

