

respective parties, the said application is allowed.
Let the two applicants be added as respondents in
SLP(C)No.17522 of 2006.

4. As far as IA 9 is concerned, the same is an
application for direction, which is not pressed.

5. The two applications are disposed of,
accordingly.

6. Coming back to our order of 22nd July, 2010,
it has been pointed out that in the very first
paragraph, we had indicated that by order dated 14th
May, 2010, the applicants had been impleaded as parties
in these proceedings. The said statement is incorrect
and, accordingly, the first paragraph of the said order
is deleted. Let the order be corrected as indicated
hereinabove.

(Sheetal Dhingra)
Court Master

(Juginder Kaur)
Court Master