

ITEM NO.19

COURT NO.11

SECTION II

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

CRL.M.P. NO. 8144 OF 2012 IN
CRIMINAL APPEAL NO(s). 1409 OF 2010

RAM BABU @ RAJA

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

STATE(NCT) OF DELHI
(With appln(s) for bail)

Respondent(s)

Date: 27/04/2012 This Appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE GYAN SUDHA MISRA
(IN CHAMBERS)

For Appellant(s) Mr. Rakesh Kumar,Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mrs. Niranjana Singh,Adv.
Mr. B.V. Balram Das,Adv.
Mrs. Prema Singh,Adv.
Mrs Anil Katiyar,Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

This is an application seeking an order to release the appellant Tuka Ram on bail since it has been stated that he has already undergone several years, out of the sentence of life Imprisonment, which has been imposed on him under Section 302 of the Indian Penal Code.

However, it is difficult to comprehend for me as a Chamber Judge to consider which are the cases wherein bail application should be considered by the Chamber Judge and which are those cases wherein the bail application should be considered by the Regular Bench, as in my

2

experience, I have noticed that bail applications are regularly listed before the Regular Bench in matters where the appeal is pending.

This is one of the usual cases, wherein the appellant has been convicted under Section 302 of IPC and sentenced to undergo Life Imprisonment.

Whether he should be granted bail, since he has already undergone several years of sentence, in my view, is fit to be considered by the Regular Bench, and not by the Chamber Judge.

Hence the application be listed along with the appeal before the Regular Bench for consideration.

(KUSUM SYAL)
SR.P.A

(MITHLESH GUPTA)
COURT MASTER