

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS  
CIVIL APPEAL NO(s). 303 OF 2004

TATA IRON &amp; STEEL CO. LTD.

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

STATE OF JHARKHAND &amp; ORS.

Respondent(s)

WITH

Civil Appeal NO. 307 of 2004

[with appln. for permission to file rejoinder affidavit)

T.P.(C) NO. 432-433 of 2011

(With office report)

T.P.(C) NO. 464-465 of 2011

(With office report)

Date: 12/10/2011      These Appeals/petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R.M. LODHA

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGDISH SINGH KHEHAR

For Appellant(s)

TP 432-433

464-465

Mr. C.S. Vaidyanathan, Sr. Adv.

Mr. Gopal Subramaniam, Sr. Adv.

Mr. Punit Dutt Tyagi, Adv.

Mr. C.D. Mulherkar, Adv.

Mr. M.K. Dua, Adv.

Mr. Anil K. Jha, Adv.

T.P. 432-433

464-465

Mr. Punit Dutt Tyagi, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Amrendra Sharan, Sr. Adv.

Mr. Sunil Kumar, Sr. Adv.

Mr. S. Chandra Shekhar, Adv.

Ms. Ashwarya Sinha, Adv.

Mr. Manoj Kumar, Adv.

Mr. Ramraghvendra, Adv.

CA 303, 307

Mr. Sunil Kumar, Sr. Adv.

Mr. Anil K. Jha, Adv.

Ms. Chhaya Kumari, Adv.

Mr. S.K. Dinarkar, Adv.

CA 307

Mr. Gopal Subramaniam, Sr. Adv.

Mr. C.S. Vaidyanathan, Sr. Adv.

Mr. Punit Dutt Tyagi, Adv.

Mr. C.D. Mulherkar, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

Civil Appeal Nos. 303 of 2004 and 307 of 2004:

Although on behalf of the appellant, reliance is placed on the decision of this Court in State of Orissa and

others vs. Steel Authority of India Ltd. (1998)6 SCC 476 but  
we are informed that correctness of the view taken in this  
case has been doubted by this Court in group of Civil  
Appeals being C.A. Nos. 5651/2005, 5650/2005, 5908/2004,  
5909/2004 and 5910/2004.

By order dated July 25, 2006, in this group of  
appeals, a two Judge Bench of this Court observed that they  
were unable to subscribe to the view expressed in Steel  
Authority of India's case and referred the appeals for  
disposal by a larger Bench.

In view of the above, we are of the view that it  
would be in the fitness of things if Civil Appeal Nos. 303  
of 2004 and 307 of 2004 are heard along with Civil Appeal  
No. 5908 of 2004 and other connected matters. Order  
accordingly.

Transfer Petition Nos. 432-433/2011 and 464-465 of 2011:

Transfer Petitions are dismissed in terms of signed  
order.  
(Pardeep Kumar) (Renu Diwan)  
Court Master Court Master  
[ SIGNED ORDER IS PLACED ON THE FILE ]

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA  
CIVIL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

TRANSFER PETITION (C) NOS. 432-433 OF 2011

TATA STEEL LIMITED ... PETITIONER(s)

Versus

UNION OF INDIA AND OTHERS ... RESPONDENT(s)

WITH

TRANSFER PETITION (C) NOS. 464-465 OF 2011

TATA STEEL LIMITED ... PETITIONER(s)

Versus

STATE OF JHARKHAND & OTHERS ... RESPONDENT(s)

O R D E R

On hearing Mr. C.S. Vaidyanathan, learned senior counsel for the petitioner and Mr. A. Sharan for the State of Jharkhand, we are satisfied that it would be proper if Writ Petitions are heard and decided by the High Court. We are informed that pleadings are already complete in the Writ Petitions.

Transfer Petitions are dismissed. We request the

High Court to decide Writ Petition No. 1504 of 2009 Tata Steel Limited vs. Union of India and others; Writ Petition

(C) No. 1505 of 2009 Tata Steel Limited vs. Union of India

and others; Writ Petition (C) No. 2995/2008 Tata Steel

: 2 :

Limited vs. State of Jharkhand and others and Writ Petition

(C) No. 2999 of 2008 Tata Steel Limited vs. State of

Jharkhand and others as expeditiously as may be possible

preferably before March 31, 2012.

.....J.  
(R.M. LODHA )

.....J.  
(JAGDISH SINGH KHEHAR )

NEW DELHI,  
OCTOBER 12, 2011.