

DITEM NO.14

COURT NO.11

SECTION XVIA

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Transfer Petition(s)(Civil) No(s). 890/2017

SANHITA SENGUPTA @ SANHITA CHOWDHURY

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

SUBROTO GHOSH

Respondent(s)

(with appln. (s) for stay)

Date : 09/05/2017 This petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON&#39;BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MISHRA

HON&#39;BLE MR. JUSTICE AMITAVA ROY

For Petitioner(s) Mr. R.K. Gupta, Adv.

Mr. Shekhar Kumar, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

No ground for transfer is made out. The Transfer Petition is accordingly dismissed.

Pending applications, if any, shall stand disposed

of.

However, in view of the decision rendered by this

court on 9 th

March, 2017 in T.P.(C) No. 1912 of 2014

titled Krishna Veni Nagam vs. Harish Nigam, it is open to

the petitioner is at liberty to pray to the concerned

court for participating in the proceedings by video

conferencing and also to claim expenditure when

petitioner(wife) is required to travel. In case she

wants mediation, the court shall make endeavour in that

direction too.

(NEELAM GULATI)

COURT MASTER

(TAPAN KR. CHAKRABORTY)

COURT MASTER