

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl) No(s).2513/2012

(From the judgement and order dated 12/03/2012 in CRM No.3375/2012, of The HIGH COURT OF CALCUTTA)

ANIL KUMAR BHANSALI & ORS. Petitioner(s)
VERSUS
STATE OF WEST BENGAL Respondent(s)
(With appln. for intervention,interim Relief and office report)

Date: 03/12/2012 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P. SATHASIVAM
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RANJAN GOGOI

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Gopal Subramaniam, Sr.Adv.
Mr. K.V. Vishwanathan, Sr.Adv.
Mr. Ashok Jain, Adv.
Mr. Pankaj Jain, Adv.
Mr. Gaurav Kejriwal, Adv.
Mr. Bijoy Kumar Jain,Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Abhijit Sengupta,Adv.(NP)

For intervention Mr. Uday U. Lalit, Sr.Adv.
Mr. Shakeel Ahmed, Adv.
Mr. Dinesh Kumar, Adv.
Mr. Vikas Mehta ,Adv
Ms.Aditi Bhat, Adv.
Ms. Shubham Tripathi, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Leave granted.

The appeal is disposed of.

Crl.MP.for intervention is dismissed.

[Usha Bhardwaj]
Court Master

[Savita Sainani]
Court Master

Signed order is placed on the file.

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO.1979 OF 2012

Arising out of S.L.P. (Criminal) No.2513 of 2012

Anil Kumar Bhansali & Ors.

.....Appellant(s)

Versus

O R D E R

In spite of the fact that notice has been served on the State of West Bengal, no one appears on behalf of the State.

Leave granted.

By order dated 13th April, 2012 while issuing notice we granted interim protection in connection with G.R. No.4235/11 pending before the Court of Chief Metropolitan Magistrate at Calcutta arising out of FIR NO.890 dated 05.12.11 registered at Hare Street Police Station, Kolkata.

After going into the grievance expressed and hearing the learned senior counsel for the appellants, we intend to continue the same order subject to the following conditions:-

(i) the appellants shall make themselves available for interrogation as and when required;

(ii) the appellants shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade them from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;

(iii) the appellants shall not leave India without the previous permission of the trial court.

...2/-

:2:

The appeal is disposed of accordingly.

CrI.M.P. for intervention is dismissed.

.....J.
(P.SATHASIVAM)

.....J.
(RANJAN GOGOI)

NEW DELHI;
DECEMBER 03, 2012.