

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

BEFORE THE REGISTRAR MRS. RACHNA GUPTA

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No(s). 28354/2013

SRI SANTOSH KUMAR MOHAPATRA Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

ODISHA STATE CIVIL SUPLIERS (C) LTD. ORS Respondent(s)
(with appln. (s) for c/delay in filing process fee and vacating stay and interim relief and office report)

WITH

SLP(C) No. 28954/2013

(With appln.(s) for vacating stay and appln.(s) for c/delay in filing process fee and Interim Relief and Office Report)

SLP(C) No. 8548/2014

(With Interim Relief and Office Report)

SLP(C) No. 8549/2014

(With Interim Relief and Office Report)

SLP(C) No. 8661/2014

(With appln.(s) for vacating stay and Interim Relief and Office Report)

SLP(C) No. 8935/2014

(With appln.(s) for vacating stay and Office Report)

SLP(C) No. 8969/2014

(With appln.(s) for exemption from filing c/c of the impugned judgment and Interim Relief and Office Report)

SLP(C) No. 10412/2014

(With Interim Relief and Office Report)

SLP(C) No. 10417/2014

(With Interim Relief and Office Report)

SLP(C) No. 10419/2014

(With Interim Relief and Office Report)

SLP(C) No. 10422/2014

(With Interim Relief and Office Report)

Signature Not Verified

SLP(C) No. 12212/2014

Digitally signed by

Rupam Dhamija

Date: 2015.02.20

(With Interim Relief and Office Report)

11:09:46 IST

Reason:

Date : 10/02/2015 This petition was called on for hearing today.
For Petitioner(s)

Mr. Amit Sharma,Adv.
Mr.Dipesh Sinha,Adv.

Ms. Bakha Sharma,Adv.
Mr.Shitiz Chopra,Adv.

Mr. S. S. Nehra, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Nirajgha, Adv.
Mr. Nikilesh Ramachandran, Adv.
Mr. Parmanand Gaur, Adv.

Ms. Anagha S. Desai, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

SLP(C) No. 8935/2014

Affidavit of Dasti service is yet to be filed for Respondent No.3 and 4. At the stage Ld. Counsel for the petitioner has mentioned that Mr. Pritam Mishra, Adv. On behalf of Mr. S.Mishra, AOR has already put on appearance on behalf of State of Orissa in all the matters Order dated 15.10.2014.

Registry to verify about the vakalatnama have filed. Counter affidavit of respondent nos. 1 and 2 is already filed. Also for Respondent No. 5.

SLP(C) No. 8969/2014

Respondent no. 1 and 2 have filed counter affidavit. Service of Respondent No. 3 and 4 is complete.

The matter stands in complete category.

SLP(C) No. 8548/2014

Though it is reported that affidavit of dasti service with Respondent No. 1 has not been filed by the petitioner. Vakalatnama above mentioned submission is reiterated that the service have been effect to the Standing Counsel and already put in appearance. Registry again to verify the same. Counter affidavit of Respondent No. 2,3 and 4 has already been filed.

SLP(C) No.8549 /2014

Counter affidavit of Respondent No.2 and 3 is already filed. As far as Respondent No. 4 is concerned service is complete but none has entered appearance.

SLP(C) No. 8661/2014

Service of Respondent No.1 is complete. But none has entered appearance. Respondent has not been filed counter affidavit despite last opportunity. Further opportunity is declined Respondent No. 3 has filed counter affidavit. Petitioner to take fresh steps to effect service in respect of Respondent No.4.

SLP(C) No. 10412/2014

Ld.Counsel for the petitioner has filed an application for discharge of the petitioner but the same is found to be defective. Learned Counsel need to cure defects. Counter Affidavit of Respondent Nos. 2 and 4 have already been filed. Service with respect to Respondent No. 3 and 6 is complete. However none has entered appearance. With respect to Respondent No. 1. Registry to verify the presence.

As far as Respondent No. 5 is concerned to service from respective district Court is still awaited. Registry to send a reminder.

SLP(C) No. 10419/2014

An application for discharge of Advocate is filed but the same is defective. Defects be cured. Counter affidavit of Respondent No. 2 and 4 is filed. Respondent No. 3,5,6 have been served but none has entered appearance. Registry to verify with respect to the presence of Respondent No. 1 being the State through the Standing Counsel. Service be effected.

SLP(C) No. 10422/2014

The application for discharge of advocate has been filed by petitioner but is found defective. Petitioner to cure defects. Counter affidavit of Respondent No. 2 and 4 have been filed. Respondent No. 3 has not filed appearance. Petitioner is to take fresh steps to effect service on Respondent No.5. Presence of Respondent No. 1 and 3 has to be verify by the Registry in view of the submission.

List again on 31.3.2015.

(RACHNA GUPTA)
Registrar