

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).8980-8981/2011

(From the judgement and order dated 04/03/2011 in WA No. 1063/2009 &
WA No. 1287/2009 of The HIGH COURT OF MADRAS)

SECRETARY TAMILNADU PUBLIC SERVICE COMM Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

A.B.NATARAJAN & ORS.ETC. Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for PERMISSION TO FILE LENGTHY LIST OF DATES and prayer
for interim relief)

WITH S.L.P.(C)...CC NO. 6040-6041 of 2011

(With permission to file SLP and with prayer for interim relief and
office report)

S.L.P.(C)...CC NO. 6061-6062 of 2011

(With permission to file SLP and with prayer for interim relief and
office report)

SLP(C) NO. 9225 of 2011

(With appln(s) for exemption from filing C/C of the impugned judgment
and with prayer for interim relief and office report)

Date: 11/04/2011 These Petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE MUKUNDAKAM SHARMA

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL R. DAVE

For Petitioner(s) Mr. P.P. Rao, Sr. Adv.
 Mr. T. Harish Kumar, Adv.
 Ms. Niramathi, Adv.
 Mr. P. Prasanth, Adv.
 Mr. V. Vasudevan, Adv.

 Mr. L. Nagwshwar Rao, Sr. Adv.
 Mr. Jayanth Muth Raj, Adv.
 Mr. Sureshan P., Adv.

 Mr. Paramjit Singh Patwalia, Sr. Adv.
 Mr. V.G. Pragasam, Adv.
 Mr. S.J. Aristotle, Adv.
 Mr. Praburama Subramanian, Adv.

 Mr. K. Parasaran, Sr. Adv.
 Mr. V. Balachandran, Adv.

-2-

For Respondent(s) Mr. K.V. Vishwanathan, Sr. Adv.
 Mr. N. Subramaniyan, Adv.
 Mr. M.V.K. Moorthy, Adv.
 Mr. A. Chandramohan, Adv.
 Ms.T.Anamika, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

In these matters, what is challenged is the

judgment and order passed by the Madras High Court. Tamil Nadu Public Service Commission is one of the petitioners in these petitions whereas other petitions have been filed by the selected candidates whose selection and appointments have been set aside by the High Court. The contesting respondents who are the original writ petitioners are also represented through their counsel. Therefore, we would hear the writ petitions in presence of the counsels who are available for final disposal or admission as the case may be on 4th May, 2011.

In the meantime, it shall be open to the parties to exchange affidavits, if so advised.

At the oral request of the learned counsel for the petitioners, the State of Tamil Nadu represented by the Chief Secretary is impleaded as a party respondents in all the matters. Steps shall be taken for service of notice on the said newly impleaded respondent by serving Dasti. Additionally, a copy of the notice shall be

-3-

served on the standing counsel for State of Tamil Nadu.

Liberty to the parties to mention these matters before this Court, if there may be any cause.

(DEEPAK MANSUKHANI)
Court Master

(RENU DIWAN)
Court Master