

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S
B E F O R E T H E R E G I S T R A R M R . P A W A N D E V K O T W A L

Writ Petition(s) (Civil) No(s). 216/2015

VIOM NETWORKS LTD

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

NASHIK MUNICIPAL CORPORATION AND ORS.

Respondent(s)

(with office report)

WITH

W.P.(C) No. 611/2015

(With Office Report)

W.P.(C) No. 577/2015

(With Office Report)

Date : 18/01/2016 This petition was called on for hearing today.

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. Chinmay Pradip Sharma, Adv.

Mr. Ritesh Singh, Adv.

Mr. Puneet Taneja, Adv.

Mr. Nar Hari Singh, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Nirnimesh Dube, Adv.

Mr. Siddhartha Arya, Adv.

Ms. Aparna Jha, Adv.

Mr. Suhas Kadam, Adv.

M/s Lemax Lawyers & Co., Adv.

Mr.D.M. Nargolkar, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

W.P.(C) No. 216/2015

Nobody appears for respondent Nos.4 to 6, despite due service.

Fresh steps to be taken for service of respondent No.13 within four weeks.

Registry to submit report regarding other respondents.

W.P.(C) No. 577/2015

Four weeks' time, as last opportunity, is granted to respondent Nos.1, 2, 4 and 5 for filing counter affidavit.

Respondent Nos.7 and 8 have not filed the counter affidavit, despite last opportunity having been granted. As such, further opportunity is declined.

Nobody appears for respondent Nos.3, 6, 9 and 11 despite due service.

Four weeks' time, as last opportunity, is granted to the petitioner for filing affidavit with proof of dasti service with regard to respondent Nos.10, 12 and 13.

W.P.(C) No. 611/2015

Respondent No.1 is common in this petition and W.P.(C) No.577/2015. As such, the Ld. Counsel to file vakalatnama in this petition also within four weeks. Four weeks' time, as last opportunity, is granted to the petitioner to file affidavit with proof of dasti service with regard to respondent Nos.2, 3 and 8 to 10.

Nobody appears for respondent Nos.4 to 7, despite due service.

List again on 29.3.2016.

(PAWAN DEV KOTWAL)
Registrar