ITEM NO.32   COURT NO.8   SECTION IIIA

            S U P R E M E   C O U R T   O F   I N D I A
                    RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

               CIVIL APPEAL NO(s). 8114 OF 2013

RELIANCE COMMUNICATIONS LTD.& ORS.   Appellant (s)

            VERSUS

MIRA BHAYANDAR MUNICIPAL CO. & ANR.   Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for directions and vacating interim relief and with prayer
for interim relief and office report))

WITH SLP(C) NO. 362 of 2014
(With appln.(s) for directions and office report)

Civil Appeal NO. 8115 of 2013
(With appln.(s) for directions and with prayer for interim relief and
office report)

Civil Appeal NO. 8116 of 2013
(With appln.(s) for directions and with prayer for interim relief and
office report)

Civil Appeal NO. 8117 of 2013
(With appln.(s) for directions and with prayer for interim relief and
office report)

Date: 26/02/2014 These Appeals and Petition were called on for hearing
today.

CORAM :
        HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURINDER SINGH NIJJAR
        HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.K. SIKRI

For Appellant(s)
        Mr. Mukul Rohatgi, Sr.Adv.
        Ms. Shelly Bhasin, Adv.
        Ms. Shikha Sarin, Adv.
        Mr. Sadapurna Mukherjee, Adv.
                Mr. E.C. Agrawala,Adv.

SLP 362/2014 Mr. Chinmoy Pradip Sharma, Adv.
        Mr. Sayan Ray, Adv.
                Mr. Puneet Taneja, Adv.

For Respondent(s)
                    Mr. V.N. Raghupathy,Adv.

                    Mr. D.M. Nargolkar, Adv.

CA 8114/13   Mr. Vinay Navare, Adv.
        Mr. Satyajeet Kumar, Adv.
        Mr. Keshav Ranjan, Adv.
        Mr. V.N. Raghupathy, Adv.

CA 8116/13 and   Mr. Shekhar Naphade, Sr.Adv.
CA 8117/13   Mr. Vinay Navare, Adv.
        Mr. Satyajeet Kumar, Adv.
        Mr. Keshav Ranjan, Adv.
                    Ms. Abha R. Sharma, Adv.

        UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
                    O R D E R

        List all the matters on 9th April, 2014 at the top of the
    Board.
            S.L.P.(C) No. 362 of 2014
                List on 9th April, 2014.
                In the meantime, it is clarified that, as an interim
    measure, the respondents may determine the tax on the mobile towers
    under the Maharashtra Municipal Corporation Act, 1949 and raise demand
    on the appellants. However, such demand shall not be enforced against
    the appellants during the pendency of the appeals.

      (Sukhbir Paul Kaur)   (Indu Bala Kapur)
        Court Master   Court Master