

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS
CIVIL APPEAL D.NO(s). 10110 OF 2013

U.O.I. & ORS.

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

GAMBHIR SINGH CHAHAR

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for leave to appeal u/s 31(1) of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 and office report)

Date: 13/01/2014 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE T.S. THAKUR

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE C. NAGAPPAN

For Petitioner(s) Mr. R.Balasubramaniam, Adv.
Ms. Anindita Pujari, Adv.
Mr. B.V. Balaram Das, Adv.
Mr. Vikas Bansal, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Heard.

There is an inordinate delay of 711 days in the filing of this application. In addition there is a de lay of 91 days in refiling also. We are not satisfied with the explanation offered by the appellant for condoning the said delay. The application for condonation of delay is, therefore, dismissed and so also the application for leave to appeal as time barred. The question of law raised in the application is however left open.

|(Shashi Sareen)
|Court Master

|(Sneh Lata Sharma)
|Court Master

(Signed order is placed on the file)

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL No. OF 2014
(D 10110)

|UNION OF INDIA AND ORS.

|...| Appellant(s) |

| Versus |

|GAMBHIR SINGH CHAHAR

|...| Respondent(s) |

O R D E R

Heard.

There is an inordinate delay of 711 days in the filing of this application. In addition there is a de lay of 91 days in refiling also. We are not satisfied with the explanation offered by the appellant for condoning the said delay. The application for condonation of delay is, therefore, dismissed and so also the application for leave to appeal as time barred. The question of law raised in the application is however left open.

.....J.
(T.S.THAKUR)

.....J.
(C.NAGAPPAN)

New Delhi,
January 13, 2014.