

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).6327-6331/2012

(From the judgement and order dated 13/10/2011 in LPA No.886/2011, LPA No.1185/2011, LPA No.1186/2011, LPA No.1545/2011 and LPA No.1548/2011 of The HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH)

STATE OF HARYANA & ANR

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

SURINDRA KUMAR MISHRA & ORS.ETC.ETC.

Respondent(s)

(With prayer for interim relief and office report )

WITH

SLP(C) NO. 11695 of 2012  
(With office report)

Date: 06/08/2012 These Petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R.M. LODHA  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL R. DAVE  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHANSU JYOTI MUKHOPADHAYA

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Devinder Pratap Singh, Addl. Adv. Gen.  
Dr. Monika Gusain,Adv.

Mr. Ajay Majithia, Adv.  
Mr. Shekhar Kumar, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Samrendra Kumar, Adv.  
Mr. Anil Kumar Jha,Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

SLP (C) Nos.6327-6331 of 2012

On February 27, 2012, Ms. Urvashi Arora, advocate, waived service for all the respondents. According to Office Report dated 3rd day of August, 2012, Ms. Urvashi Arora, advocate, appeared for Dr. Kailash Chand, advocate-on-record, but no Vakalatnama/memo of appearance has been filed by her and, on behalf of respondent No. 1 in SLP (C) No. 6327/2012, Mr. Anil Kumar Jha, advocate, has filed Vakalatnama/memo of appearance.

The Registry may call Dr. Kailash Chand, advocate-on-record, and find out whether on behalf of other respondents he intends to file Vakalatnama/memo of appearance or not. If he is not inclined to file any Vakalatnama/memo of appearance on behalf of the remaining

respondents, let fresh notice be issued to these unrepresented respondents, returnable in ten weeks. Dasti, in addition to the ordinary process, is permitted.

SLP(C) No. 11695 of 2012

According to Office Report dated 3rd day of August, 2012, service is incomplete on respondent Nos. 1 to 3, 8, 9, 13, 14, 16 to 20, 22 to 25, 28, 29, 32 to 34, 37 to 39, 43, 44 and 47. As regards respondent No. 39 unserved cover bears the endorsement "Addressee expired".

Let fresh notice be issued to the unserved respondents, except respondent No. 39, returnable in ten weeks. Dasti, in addition to the ordinary process, is permitted.

As regards respondent No. 39, who is reported to be dead, advocate-on-record for the petitioners, is directed to take appropriate steps for substitution of respondent No. 39.

|(Rajesh Dham)  
|Court Master

|(Renu Diwan)  
|Court Master

|