

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

BEFORE THE REGISTRAR M.A. SAYEED

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).30969/2011

SAVITRI DEVI

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF U.P.& ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With prayer for interim relief and office report)

WITH

SLP(C) NO.33984-33988/2011
SLP(C) NO.2557/2012
SLP(C) NO.1082-1083/2012
SLP(C) NO.36334-36340/2011
SLP(C) NO.3298/2012
SLP(C) NO.3473/2012
SLP(C) NO. 4249/2012
SLP(C) NO.6539/2012
SLP(C) NO.8439/2012
SLP(C) NO.7146/2012
SLP(C) NO.10597/2012
SLP(C) NO.16380/2012
SLP(C) NO. 11879/2012
SLP(C) NO.16336/2012
SLP(C) NO.16007/2012
SLP(C) NO.4687/2012
SLP(C) NO.4242/2012
SLP(C) NO.13641-13646/2012
SLP(C) NO. 8849/2012
SLP(C) NO.7540/2012
SLP(C) NO.37493/2012
SLP(C) NO.37492/2012
SLP(C) NO.18104/2012
SLP(C) NO.7436/2012
SLP(C) NO. 9748/2012
SLP(C) NO.33860/2012
SLP(C) NO.38289/2012
SLP(C) NO.27930/2012
SLP(C) NO.16674/2012
SLP(C) NO.16695/2012
SLP(C) NO. 16723/2012
SLP(C) NO. 3242/2012

-2-

Item No.21

Date: 08/03/2013 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

For Petitioner(s)

Mr Abhishek, Adv.
Mr Balraj Dewan, Adv.

Mr Ravindra Keshavrao Adsure, Adv.
Mr Avnish Singh Yadav, Adv.
Mr Yash Pal Dhingra, Adv.
Mr Sumit Sinha, Adv.
Mr Arun K Sinha, Adv.
Mr Syed Mehdi Imam, Adv.
Mr Subramonium Prasad, Adv.
Mr Sanjay Kumar Tyagi, Adv.
Mr K.K.L.Gautam, Adv.
Mr Brij Bhusan, Adv.
Mr Shikhil Suri, Adv.
Mr Shiv Kumar Suri, Adv.
Mr K.S.Rana, Adv.
Mr Jitendra Mohan Sharma, Adv.
Mr Prashant Chaudhary, Adv.
Mr M.P.S.Tomar, Adv.
Ms Sandhya Goswami, Adv.
Ms Tulika Prakash, Adv.
Mr Samir Ali Khan, Adv.
Mr Dhananjaya Kumar Tyagi, Adv.
Mr Rajesh Goyal, Adv.
Mr Ashok K Mahajan, Adv.
Mr Subhash Chandra Jain, Adv.
Mr Gopal Prasad, Adv.
Mr Ashish Jha, Adv.
Mr Rameshwar Prasad Goyal, Adv.
Mr Braham Singh, Adv.
Mr R.D.Upadhyay, Adv.
Mr Lal Singh Thakur, Adv.
Mr Tabrez Ahmad, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr Ravindra Kumar, Adv.
Mr Ashutosh Kr Sharma, Adv.
Mr Anuvrat Sharma, Adv.
Mr Himanshu Tyagi, Adv.
Ms Asha Gopalan Nair, Adv.
Mr Rishi Malhotra, Adv.
Mr Sajjad Wari, Adv.
Mr S.B.Tripathi, Adv.
Mr Deepak Goel, Adv.
Mr Vishal Mahajan, Adv.
Mr Bhaskar Y Kulkarni, Adv.
Mr Syed Mehdi Imam, Adv.
Mr Mohit Bakeshi, Adv.
Mr Dheeraj Nair, Adv.
Mr Abdhesh Chaudhary, Adv.
Mr Niendu Vatsyayan, Adv.

-3-

Item No.21

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

SLP(C) NOS. 2557, 6539, 16007 OF 2012
Deleted.

SLP(C) NO.1082-1083/2012

Respondent Nos. 1 to 3 are granted four weeks' time for filing counter affidavit.

An application for deleting respondent Nos. 4 to 8 from the array of respondents has been filed by the ld. Counsel for the petitioner. Same be placed before the Hon'ble Judge in Chambers for necessary orders.

SLP(C) NO.4687/2012

Fresh notices be issued to respondent Nos. 1 and 2 through the concerned District Court in addition to postal service.

Respondent No.3 is granted four weeks' time for filing counter

affidavit.

Respondent Nos. 4,5 and 8 are reported to be duly served through dasti but none appeared nor any steps taken on their behalf.

The ld. Counsels, Ms Tatini Basu and Ms Shomila Bakshi appearing on behalf of respondent nos. 6 and 7 have undertaken to file vakalatnama as well as counter affidavit by next date. Complete set of paper books be supplied to them. Four weeks' time is granted.

SLP(C) NO.16336/2012

Service report in respect of respondent Nos. 1 to 7 is awaited.

-4-

Item No.21

SLP(C) NO.10597/2012

The ld. Counsel for the petitioner to file the spare copies within a week for facilitating issuance of notices to respondent Nos. 3,6,7,8,10,12 and 13 through the concerned District Court in addition to postal service. Necessary steps be taken accordingly.

Counter affidavit on behalf of respondent Nos. 9 and 11 has already come on record.

Duly represented respondents are granted four weeks' time for filing counter affidavit on record.

SLP(C) NO.7540/2012

Respondent Nos. 1,2 and 22 are granted four weeks' time for filing counter affidavit.

Fresh notices be issued to respondent Nos. 4,6 to 8, 10,12,16 to 20 through the concerned District Court in addition to postal service.

Remaining respondents are reported to be duly served but none appeared nor any steps taken on their behalf.

SLP(C) NO.3473/2012

Respondent no.3 is granted four weeks' time for filing counter affidavit.

Fresh notices be issued to respondent Nos. 4 to 8 through the concerned District Court in addition to postal service.

Remaining respondents are reported to be duly served but none appeared nor any steps taken on his behalf.

-5-

Item No.21

SLP(C) NO.4249/2012

Service report in respect of respondent Nos. 1 and 6 is awaited.

Duly represented respondents are granted four weeks' time for filing counter affidavit.

SLP(C) NO.8439/2012

On behalf of respondent no.1, the ld. Counsel, Mr Ashutosh Kr Sharma appearing on behalf of Mr Anuvrat Sharma, Advocate-on-record has undertaken to file vakalatnama as well as counter affidavit by next date.

Respondent No.2 is also granted four weeks' time for filing counter affidavit.

Service report is awaited in respect of respondent No.3.

SLP(C) NO.7146/2012

On behalf of respondent No.1, the ld. Counsel Mr Ashutosh Kr Sharma appearing on behalf of Mr Anuvrat Sharma, Advocate-on-record has undertaken to file vakalatnama as well as counter affidavit by next date.

Respondent No.2 is also granted four weeks' time for filing counter affidavit.

Respondent No.3 is reported to be duly served through post but none appeared nor any steps taken on his behalf.

SLP(C) NO.16380/2012

On behalf of respondent no.1, the ld. Counsel, Mr Ashutosh Kr Sharma appearing on behalf of Mr Anuvrat Sharma, Advocate-on-record has undertaken to file vakalatnama as well as counter affidavit by next date.

-6-

Item No.21

Respondent Nos. 3 and 4 are reported to be duly served through post and dasti but none appeared nor any steps taken on their behalf.

Respondent No.2 is granted four weeks' time for filing counter affidavit.

SLP(C) NO.11879/2012

On behalf of respondent no.1, the ld. Counsel, Mr Ashutosh Kr

Sharma appearing on behalf of Mr Anuvrat Sharma, Advocate-on-record has undertaken to file vakalatnama as well as counter affidavit by next date.

Respondent Nos. 3 and 4 are reported to be duly served through post and dasti but none appeared nor any steps taken on their behalf.

Respondent No.2 is granted four weeks' time for filing counter affidavit.

SLP(C) NO.4242/2012

Office report simply indicates that for want of payment of process fee fresh notices could not be issued. However, status/position of all the respondents has not been mentioned. Clear, specific report indicating status/position of the respondents be submitted by next date so as to facilitate this Court to pass further necessary orders.

SLP(C) NO.3242/2012

On behalf of respondent no.1, the ld. Counsel, Mr Ashutosh Kr Sharma appearing on behalf of Mr Anuvrat Sharma, Advocate-on-record has undertaken to file vakalatnama as well as counter affidavit by next date.

-7-

Item No.21

Fresh notices be issued to respondent Nos. 2 to 8 through dasti as prayed for. Necessary steps be taken.

SLP(C) NO.8849/2012

Fresh notices be issued to respondent Nos. 1 and 2 through the concerned District Court/standing counsel in addition to postal service. Necessary steps be taken.

Respondent No.3 is granted four weeks' time for filing counter affidavit.

SLP(C) NO.7436/2012

On behalf of respondent no.1, the ld. Counsel, Mr Ashutosh Kr Sharma appearing on behalf of Mr Anuvrat Sharma, Advocate-on-record has undertaken to file vakalatnama as well as counter affidavit by next date.

Fresh notice be issued to respondent No.2 through the concerned District Court in addition to postal service.

Respondent no.3 is granted two weeks' time for filing counter affidavit.

SLP(C) NO.13641-13646/2012

Simple endorsement has been made in the office report about service being complete without specifying the status/position of the respondents. Registry to take note and submit specific, clear report indicating position/status of the respondents in these matters so as to facilitate passing of further necessary orders by this Court.

In future care should be taken by the registry to submit a very clear and definite report on record as the passing of further necessary orders are always held up for

-8-

Item No.21

want of correct report resulting into delaying of proceedings.

SLP(C) NO.37492,37493/2012

The ld. Counsel for the petitioner to pay process fee/spare copies within a week so as to facilitate issuance of notice on the unserved respondents. On compliance notice be issued forthwith through the concerned District Court/standing counsel in addition to postal service.

It appears that an application for deleting respondent Nos. 4 to 30 in SLP(C)No.37492/2012 from the array of respondents has been moved and respondent No.5 in SLP(C) no. 37493/2012. Same be placed before the Hon'ble Judge in Chambers for necessary orders/directions.

SLP(C) NO.27930/2012

For want of process, notices could not be issued.

Office report simply indicates that for want of payment of process fee fresh notices could not be issued. However, status/position of all the respondents has not been mentioned. Clear, specific report indicating status/position of the respondents be submitted by next date so as to facilitate this Court to pass further necessary orders.

SLP(C) NO.38289/2012

For want of process, notices could not be issued.

Office report simply indicates that for want of payment of process fee fresh notices could not be issued. However, status/position of all the respondents has not been mentioned. Clear, specific report indicating

status/position

-9-

Item No.21

of the respondents be submitted by next date so as to facilitate this Court to pass further necessary orders.

SLP(C) NO.33984-33988, 36334-36340, 30969 of 2011

Service is complete in all these batch of matters. Duly represented respondents are granted four weeks' time for filing counter affidavit on record.

SLP(C) NO.16674, 16695, 16723 of 2012

In all these batch of matters, respondent Nos. 1 to 3 are the State authorities.

On behalf of respondent no.1 in SLP(C) Nos. 16674 and 16695 of 2012, the ld. Counsel, Mr Ashutosh Kr Sharma appearing on behalf of Mr Anuvrat Sharma, Advocate-on-record has undertaken to file vakalatnama as well as counter affidavit. Four weeks' time is granted.

In respect of unserved respondents in SLP(C) No. 16723/2012, submission at Bar has been made that notices be served through the standing counsel, as the unserved respondents being State authorities, prayer allowed. Necessary steps be taken accordingly on payment of process fee and spare copies.

SLP(C) NO.9748, 33860, 3298 of 2012

Service report in respect of the respondents is awaited.

SLP(C) NO.18104/2012

The ld. Counsel, Mr Ashutosh Kr Sharma appearing on behalf of Mr Anuvrat Sharma, Advocate-on-record has undertaken to file vakalatnama as well as counter affidavit on behalf of respondent no.1 by next date.

-10-

Item No.21

Respondent No.2 is reported to be duly served through post but none appeared.

Fresh notices be issued to respondent Nos. 3 and 4 through the concerned District Court in addition to postal service.

List again on 15.4.2013.

		(M.A. SAYEED)	
		REGISTRAR	

hj