

the respondent No. 2.

Notice shall be issued only to the unrepresented respondents.

In the meanwhile, it is directed that if the petitioners are in possession, they will not be forcibly dis-possessed by the respondents.

It is made clear that under the garb of this order, the petitioners will not try to enter the subject property if they are not in possession.

Connect with S.L.P. (C) No.30969 of 2011.

SLP (C) No...CC No. 21151:

Mr. Ravindra Kumar, learned counsel for the respondent No. 5 - Greater Noida Industrial Development Authority raises the grievance that copy of the special leave petition along with annexures has not been given to him till date.

The advocate-on-record for the petitioner is directed to supply copy of the special leave petition along with the annexures to Mr. Ravindra Kumar, advocate within two weeks.

List the matter thereafter.

SLP (C) No. 36975 of 2013:

In pursuance of the order dated 9.12.2013, the petitioner has filed additional affidavit.

Issue notice.

Dasti, in addition to the ordinary process, is

3

permitted.

Mr. Ravindra Kumar, advocate waives service for the respondent No. 3.

Notice shall be issued only to the unrepresented respondents.

In the meanwhile, it is directed that if the petitioner is in possession, he will not be forcibly dis-possessed by the respondents.

It is made clear that under the garb of this order, the petitioner will not try to enter the subject property if he is not in possession.

Connect with S.L.P. (C) No.30969 of 2011.

(PARDEEP KUMAR)
AR-cum-PS

(RENU DIWAN)
COURT MASTER

4

ITEM NO.29

COURT NO.1

SECTION XI

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No(s). 36242/2013

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 29/04/2013 in CMWP No. 50235/2011 passed by the High Court Of Judicature At Allahabad)

RAMESHWAR PRASAD & ORS

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF U.P & ORS

Respondent(s)

(with appln. (s) for permission to file lengthy list of dates and interim relief and office report)

WITH

S.L.P.(C)...CC No. 21151/2013

(With Office Report)

SLP(C) No. 36975/2013

(With appln.(s) for exemption from filing OT and Interim Relief and Office Report)

Date : 22/08/2014 These petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KURIAN JOSEPH

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ROHINTON FALI NARIMAN

For Petitioner(s)

SLP 36242 Mr. Sanjay Kumar Tyagi, Adv.

SLP..CC 21151 Mr. Deepak Goel, Adv.

SLP 36975 Dr. Rajeev Sharma, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Ravindra Kumar, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

SLP (C) Nos. 35043-35044 of 2013:

In pursuance of the order dated 9.12.2013, the petitioners have filed additional affidavit.

5

Issue notice.

Dasti, in addition to the ordinary process, is permitted.

Mr. Ravindra Kumar, advocate waives service for the respondent No. 2.

Notice shall be issued only to the unrepresented respondents.

In the meanwhile, it is directed that if the petitioners are in possession, they will not be forcibly dis-possessed by the respondents.

It is made clear that under the garb of this order, the petitioners will not try to enter the subject property if they are not in possession.

Connect with S.L.P. (C) No.30969 of 2011.

SLP (C) No...CC No. 21151:

Mr. Ravindra Kumar, learned counsel for the respondent No. 5 - Greater Noida Industrial Development Authority raises the grievance that copy of the special leave petition along with annexures has not been given to him till date.

The advocate-on-record for the petitioner is directed to supply copy of the special leave petition along with the annexures to Mr. Ravindra Kumar, advocate within two weeks.

List the matter thereafter.

SLP (C) No. 36975 of 2013:

In pursuance of the order dated 9.12.2013, the

petitioner has filed additional affidavit.

Issue notice.

Dasti, in addition to the ordinary process, is

6

permitted.

Mr. Ravindra Kumar, advocate waives service for the respondent No. 3.

Notice shall be issued only to the unrepresented respondents.

In the meanwhile, it is directed that if the petitioner is in possession, he will not be forcibly dis-possessed by the respondents.

It is made clear that under the garb of this order, the petitioner will not try to enter the subject property if he is not in possession.

Connect with S.L.P. (C) No.30969 of 2011.

(PARDEEP KUMAR)
AR-cum-PS

(RENU DIWAN)
COURT MASTER