

,Item No.32 1

REGISTRAR COURT. 2

SECTION XV

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

BEFORE THE REGISTRAR MR. M V RAMESH

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No(s). 21557/2014
BHARAT SEVAK SHIKSHA SAMITI THROUGH ITS CHAIRMAN SHRI PRAGESHWAR
TIWARI Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

DR. ARTI MATHUR AND ORS Respondent(s)
(with interim relief and office report)

WITH
SLP(C) No. 27623/2015
(With appln.(s) for c/delay in filing SLP and Office Report)

Date : 08/08/2016 These petitions were called on for hearing today.
For Petitioner(s) Ms. Veena Rattan,Adv.

Ms. Pratibha Jain,Adv.

Mr. S.S. Shamsbery,Adv.

Ms. Ruchi Kohli,Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. S.K. Bhattacharya,Adv.

Mr. Niraj Bobby Paonam,Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

SLP(C) No. 21557/2014

Ld. Counsel for the respondent No.3 has already filed the
counter affidavit.

The office report indicates that the Ld. Counsel for the
respondent Nos.1 and 2 have failed to file the counter affidavit
within the period stipulated under the rules. However, Ld. Counsel
has stated that he has already filed the counter affidavit. Office
shall verify the position and report accordingly.

Item No.32 2

The office report indicates that the Respondent No.5 has
refused to accept the service of notice sent to him under
registered cover. This refusal on his part constitutes proper
service.

Fresh steps for the service of notice by usual mode to the
respondent Nos. 4, 6 and 7 shall be taken by the learned counsel
for the petitioner within a period of four weeks.

SLP(C) No. 27623/2015

S ervice of notice is complete on the respondent Nos.1 and 3
but no one has entered appearance on their behalf.

Fresh steps for the service of notice by usual mode to the
respondent No. 2 shall be taken by the learned counsel for the
petitioner within a period of four weeks.

List again on 20.9. 2016.

(M V RAMESH)

Registrar

MG