

REGISTRAR COURT. 2

SECTION XV

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

BEFORE THE REGISTRAR MR. M V RAMESH

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No(s). 21557/2014

BHARAT SEVAK SHIKSHA SAMITI THROUGH ITS CHAIRMAN SHRI PRAGESHWAR
TIWARI
Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

DR. ARTI MATHUR AND ORS
(with interim relief and office report)
Respondent(s)

WITH

SLP(C) No. 27623/2015

(With appln.(s) for c/delay in filing SLP and Office Report)

Date : 08/08/2016 These petitions were called on for hearing today.

For Petitioner(s) Ms. Veena Rattan,Adv.
Ms. Pratibha Jain,Adv.

Mr. S.S. Shamsbery,Adv.
Ms. Ruchi Kohli,Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. S.K. Bhattacharya,Adv.
Mr. Niraj Bobby Paonam,Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

SLP(C) No. 21557/2014

Ld. Counsel for the respondent No.3 has already filed the counter affidavit.

The office report indicates that the Ld. Counsel for the respondent Nos.1 and 2 have failed to file the counter affidavit within the period stipulated under the rules. However, Ld. Counsel has stated that he has already filed the counter affidavit. Office shall verify the position and report accordingly.

The office report indicates that the Respondent No.5 has refused to accept the service of notice sent to him under registered cover. This refusal on his part constitutes proper service.

Fresh steps for the service of notice by usual mode to the respondent Nos. 4, 6 and 7 shall be taken by the learned counsel for the petitioner within a period of four weeks.

SLP(C) No. 27623/2015

Service of notice is complete on the respondent Nos.1 and 3 but no one has entered appearance on their behalf.

Fresh steps for the service of notice by usual mode to the respondent No. 2 shall be taken by the learned counsel for the petitioner within a period of four weeks.

List again on 20.9.2016.

(M V RAMESH)
Registrar

MG