

Radha Charan and Others

Appellant(s)

Versus

Prem Kumar Singh and Others

Respondent(s)

O R D E R

senior

Having heard Mr. Rakesh Dwivedi, learned

counsel for the applicants and Mr. Kumar Parimal, learned

counsel for the respondent and keeping in view the legal

Reddiar

position in T.N. Electricity Board vs. N. Raju

are not

(1997) 9 SCC 736, especially paragraph 2, we

ation.

inclined to entertain this interlocutory applic

Needless to say, if the applicants have any grievance, they

can approach the appropriate forum in accordance with law.

I.A. No.1 of 2015 is, accordingly, dismissed.

.....J.
(Dipak Misra)

Signature Not Verified

Digitally signed by

Chetan Kumar
Date: 2015.05.13
16:23:50 IST

.....J.

(S.A. Bobde)

Reason:

New Delhi;
May 08, 2015.

ITEM NO.3

COURT NO.5

SECTION XI

RADHA CHARAN & ORS.

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

PREM KUMAR SINGH AND ORS

Respondent(s)

(For clarification/modification of Court's order and office report)

Date: 08/05/2015 This application was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DIPAK MISRA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.A. BOBDE

For Appellant(s) Mr. Rakesh Dwivedi, Sr. Adv.
Ms. Shruti Iyer, Adv.
Mr. Govind Manoharan, Adv.
Mr. Senthil Jagadeesan, AOR
Mr. Utkarsh Saxena, Adv.
Ms. Apoorva Garg, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Kumar Parimal, Adv.
Mr. Aniruddha P. Mayee, AOR

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

I.A. No.1 of 2015 is dismissed in terms of the signed order.

(Chetan Kumar)
Court Master

(H.S. Parasher)
Court Master

(Signed order is placed on the file)