

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

IA NO.7/2012 in IA No.6 in CIVIL APPEAL NO. 2608 OF 2011

U.P.POWER CORP.LTD.

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

RAJESH KUMAR & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for permission to file application for direction and office report)

Date: 22/03/2013 This matter was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K.S. RADHAKRISHNAN
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DIPAK MISRA

For Appellant(s) Mr. Ashok K. Mahajan,A.O.R.

For Applicant(s) Mr. Vijay Hansaria, Sr.Adv.
Mr. Jitendra Mohan Sharma, Adv.
Mr. Sameer Singh, Adv.
Ms. Deepali S. Surana, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Paras Kuhad, A.S.G.
Ms. Priya Hingorani, Adv.
Mr. B.V. Balram Das, Adv.

Mr. Vikrant Yadav, Adv.
Mr. Abhishth Kumar ,Adv

Mr. Kumar Parimal, Adv.
Mr. Aniruddha P. Mayee,Adv.

Mr. Brij Bhusan ,A.O.R.
Mrs Lalita Kaushik,A.O.R.
Mr. Harsh Vardhan Surana,A.O.R.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

Heard learned counsel on either side.

When the matter came up before us earlier, we directed learned Additional Solicitor General appearing for the Union of India to find out if any SC Officers have been appointed to IAS after their retirement. A list containing names of few officers, who had been admitted to IAS, has been made available. Learned counsel appearing for the respondent-applicant claimed parity and submitted that his client was eligible even in 2006, but the Selection Committee did not meet till 2011, not due to his fault. Since certain persons had been given appointment to IAS after their retirement, the claim raised by the respondent be examined by the Union of India.

The matter is, therefore, adjourned for four weeks on a request made by the Additional Solicitor General.

|(A.D. Sharma)

| |(Renuka Sadana)

|
|Court Master

| |Court Master

|

