

ITEM NO.3

COURT NO.16

REVISED
SECTION XI

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No(s). 8153-8154/2022

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 05-01-2022 in CAC No. 1261/2017 and 14-02-2022 in SA No. 38/2022 passed by the High Court Of Judicature At Allahabad, Lucknow Bench)

SHIVAM DAS CHANDANI & ORS.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

PRABHU N. SINGH & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(FOR ADMISSION and I.R. and IA No.65604/2022-EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT)

Date : 13-05-2022 These petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B.R. GAVAI

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PAMIDIGHANTAM SRI NARASIMHA

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Ankit Mishra, Adv.
Ms. Abha R. Sharma, AOR

For Respondent(s)

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

We see no reason to interfere with the impugned order. The Division Bench of the High Court has already reserved the rights of the petitioner to pursue the remedies available in law.

However, we find that the cost imposed is not justified in the facts of this case. The order, in so far as imposing of concerned cost, is set aside.

The Special Leave Petitions are disposed of accordingly.

Pending application(s),if any, also stand disposed of.

(SNEHA DAS)
SENIOR PERSONAL ASSISTANT

(RAM SUBHAG SINGH)
BRANCH OFFICER

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No(s). 8153-8154/2022

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 05-01-2022 in CAC No. 1261/2017 and 14-02-2022 in SA No. 38/2022 passed by the High Court Of Judicature At Allahabad, Lucknow Bench)

SHIVAM DAS CHANDANI & ORS.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

PRABHU N. SINGH & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(FOR ADMISSION and I.R. and IA No.65604/2022-EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT)

Date : 13-05-2022 These petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B.R. GAVAI

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PAMIDIGHANTAM SRI NARASIMHA

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Ankit Mishra, Adv.
Ms. Abha R. Sharma, AOR

For Respondent(s)

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

We see no reason to interfere with the impugned order. The Division Bench of the High Court has already reserved the rights of the petitioner to pursue the remedies available in law.

However, we find that the cost imposed is not justified in the facts of this case. The order, in so far as imposing of concerned cost, is set aside.

(SNEHA DAS)
SENIOR PERSONAL ASSISTANT

(RAM SUBHAG SINGH)
BRANCH OFFICER