

clarified that the observations made in paragraphs 30 and 31 of the judgment and order under challenge would not be read as observations touching the adjudication of the *lis* between the parties.

5. The position, *prima facie*, is that outcomes of litigation by two sets of landowners challenging the same acquisition proceedings are at variance.

6. In view of the aforesaid facts and circumstances and to erase conflict of decisions, which is patent, we are of the firm opinion that the appellants' writ petition ought to be reconsidered by the High Court on a remand being directed in the light of the judgments/orders referred to above. Ordered accordingly.

7. The impugned judgment and order stands set aside with the result that the writ petition would revive on the file of the High Court. Let the same be now decided in accordance with law as early as possible. The appeal is, accordingly, allowed. Pending application(s), if any, stand disposed of.

8. All contentions on the merits of the rival claims are left open.

.....J.
(DIPANKAR DATTA)
`

.....J.
(MANMOHAN)

**New Delhi;
February 11, 2025.**

ITEM NO.18

COURT NO.14

SECTION IV-B

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No.13980/2014

[Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 20-03-2013 in CWP No.9879/2012 passed by the High Court of Punjab & Haryana at Chandigarh]

RAKESH KUMR (D) THROUGH LRS. & ORS.

Petitioners

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA & ORS.

Respondents

(With I.A. No.15499/2022-APPLICATION FOR PERMISSION and I.A. No. 158992/2022-EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.)

Date : 11-02-2025 This matter was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DIPANKAR DATTA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANMOHAN

For Petitioner(s): Mr. Siddharth Mittal, AOR
Mr. Deepak Agarwal, Adv.
Mr. Abhijeet Varshney, Adv.
Mr. Darshan Sejwal, Adv.
Mr. Sumit Kumar Sharma, Adv.
Mrs. Shilpa G Mittal, Adv.

Ms. Usha Nandini V., AOR

For Respondent(s): Mr. Akshay Amritanshu, AOR
Mr. Arun Tawatia, Adv.
Ms. Pragya Upadhyay, Adv.
Ms. Drishti Saraf, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

1. Leave granted.
2. The civil appeal is allowed in terms of the signed order.
3. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

(RASHMI DHYANI PANT)
ASTT. REGISTRAR-cum-PS

(SUDHIR KUMAR SHARMA)
COURT MASTER (NSH)

(signed order is placed on the file)